

January 25, 2002

Julia Calkins, Thomson Ling, Eric Moore, Tamara Halle, Beth Hair, Kris Moore, and Marty Zaslow

Materials prepared for the Pathways Mapping Project, operating under the auspices of the Project on Effective Interventions at Harvard University Lisbeth B. Schorr, Director pathways@hms.harvard.edu

Table of Contents

LEGEND	
OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	7
Social and Emotional Development	24
Language Development.	41
Cognitive Development Disposition to Learn	58
Disposition to Learn	70
CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	
Subcondition A. Healthy, Wanted Birth	81
Subcondition B. Development on Track	85
Subcondition C. No Preventable Disease or Injury	94
CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	95
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	95
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care	
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure	122
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	131
CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	142
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	142
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space	152
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	157

LEGEND

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Information
ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Fall 1999, Parent Survey	Jerry West Director, Early Childhood Studies Program NCES 1990 K Street, NW, Room 9046 Washington, DC 20006-5650 202-502-7335 202-502-7455 (fax) http://nces.ed.gov/ecls
ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher, Part C	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998- 99, Fall 1999, Teacher Survey Part C	See above
ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998- 99, Spring 2000, Parent Survey	See above
ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher, Part C	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Spring 2000, Teacher Survey Part C	See above
FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey, Spring 2000, Parent Survey	Louisa Tarullo Federal Project Officer Commissioner's Office of Research and Evaluation Administration on Children, Youth, and Families Switzer Building, Room 2130 330 C Street, SW Washington, DC 20201 202-205-8324 lbtarullo@acf.dhhs.gov http://www2.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/hsb
FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey, Spring 2001, Child Assessment	See above

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Information
FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey, Spring 1998, Parent Survey	See above
FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey, Spring 1999, Parent Survey	See above
Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	Hope VI Baseline Resident Satisfaction Survey	Linda B. Fossburg Abt Associates 4800 Montgomery Lane, Suite 600 Bethesda, MD 20814 301-319-0500 www.abtassoc.com
Hope VI Windshield Survey	Hope VI Windshield Neighborhood Survey	Linda B. Fossburg Abt Associates 4800 Montgomery Lane, Suite 600 Bethesda, MD 20814 301-319-0500 www.abtassoc.com
ISSB	Inventory of Socially Supportive Behaviors	Manuel Barrera Box 871104 Department of Psychology Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287-1104 480-965-3826 Manuel.Barrera@asu.edu
Kids Count	Kids Count, City Trends (1990-1998)	Child Trends 4301 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 100 Washington, DC 20008 202-362-5580 www.childtrends.org

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Information
Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	Sharon Ford Schattgen Coordinator of Curriculum and Assessment Division of Instruction Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education P.O. Box 480 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-2625
Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	See above
Neighboring in an Urban Environment	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	Abraham Wandersman Department of Psychology University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208 803-777-7671 wandersman@sc.edu
NHES: 91	1991 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 93	1993 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 95	1995 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 99	1999 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Information
North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	Kelly Maxwell Investigator Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Campus Box 8180 105 Smith Level Road Chapel Hill, NC 27599-8180 (919) 966-9865 maxwell@unc.edu
NOWG	The National Outcome Work Groups	Eric Killian Area Extension Specialist Children, Youth & Families 2345 Red Rock, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89146-3160 702-257-5542 killian@unce.unr.edu http://ag.arizona.edu/fcr/fs/nowg/ind ex.html
SS-B	Social Support Behaviors Scale	Alan Vaux Department of Psychology Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL 69201
Sustainable Measures	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	Sustainable Measures PO Box 361 North Andover, MA 01845 978-9751988 mhart@tiac.net http://www.sustainablemeasures.com

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Information
Vermont "Ready Kindergartners"	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	David Murphey
Questionnaire – Teacher		Agency of Human Services Planning
		Division
		103 S. Main St.
		Waterbury, VT 05671-0201
		802-241-2238
		David.Murphey@state.vt.us
Vermont "Ready Schools" Principal	Vermont "Ready Schools" Principal Questionnaire	See above
Questionnaire		
Zero Population Growth	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Zero Population Growth, Inc.
•	(Part of the Children's Environmental Index)	1400 16 th St, NW Suite 320
		Washington, D.C. 20036
		202-332-2302
		tnfo@zpg.org
		http://www.zpg.org



OUTCOME:	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS		
HIGH RATES OF	At time of entry to school		w available and in	
CHILDREN READY		(See	legend for acronyr	n list)
FOR SCHOOL			T ~	
Physical Well-Being and		Local	State	National
Motor Development		1		
Physical Well-Being and	Percent of parents who report their children as having		North Carolina	ECLS-K (Fall
Motor Development	{excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor} overall		(School	1999) CHQ.330
	health		Readiness	NHES: 93 #R106;
			Assessment—Parent Survey,	NHES: 95 #M2
			#13)	
	Percent of children whose ability to learn appears to		Vermont	
	be inhibited by illness		("Ready	
	{not observed, seldom, sometimes, or often}		Kindergartners" Questionnaire,	
			#24a—teacher)	
	Percent of children who appear to be healthy		Missouri	
			(School	
			Readiness Assessment	
			Project, Teacher	
			Survey, #56)	
		Nowie	<u>54170</u> , 1150 <u>1</u>	
	Percent of children who are in expected range of	NOWG,		
	height and weight for their age	Administrative		
		<u>Data (Healthcare</u> provider records,		
		school health		
		records, parent		
		surveys/		
		interviews)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
Motor Development	Percent of children showing individual developmentally appropriate progress in all areas of their development		Minnesota (Work Sampling System, Early Childhood Screening, part C-IFSP)	
	Infant mortality rate	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	South Carolina	
	Percent of children with up-to-date immunizations		Rhode Island	
	Percent of kindergartners fully immunized		Vermont (Dept. of Health Immunization Program)	
	Percent of children who are immunized on appropriate schedule		Minnesota (Early Childhood Screening, Kindergarten Retrospective Study)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
FOR SCHOOL Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children entering school immunized		New York (Dept. of Health)	
	Percent of children who receive childhood immunizations at recommended ages	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)		
	Percent of children who have a regular health care provider for routine medical care (i.e., well-child care and checkups)			FACES-K PARENT (Spring 1999) # N5
	Percent of children who visit a health care provider for preventative check-ups at a regular interval	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)		
	Percent of people identifying obstacles to obtaining healthcare	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who report that their families have health insurance other than Medicaid through their job or the job of another employed adult in the household			FACES-K PARENT (Spring 1999) # K8
	Percent of children under age 6 without health insurance		Rhode Island (Current Population Survey)	
	Percent of children without health insurance at kindergarten entry		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children who have adequate health insurance to cover basic medical care	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)		
	Percent of children with health insurance other than Medicaid		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #6)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children with various types of health insurance coverage, or no health insurance: Private health insurance/private HMO (for example, employer provided) NC Health Choice (NC health insurance for working families) Medicaid CHAMPUS (military health insurance) Other No health insurance coverage Percent of children who have been to a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care Percent of children who receive regular dental care	NOWG, Administrative	North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #12)	FACES-K PARENT (Spring 1999) # N6
	Percent of children with untreated dental problems at kindergarten entry	Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)	Rhode Island (planned)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who report their children having less or much less coordination in moving their arms and legs than other children compared to children their own age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.175
	Percent of children who demonstrate gross motor skills (e.g., running, jumping, climbing stairs, or skipping)		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #54)	
	Percent of children who achieve basic motor milestones within expected range of development	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)		
	Percent of children who trip, stumble, or fall easily		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #15c)	NHES: 93 #R21



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who report their children as being {a lot more, slightly more, or less} active than other children of their own age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.140
	Percent of children who are physically active		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #53)	
	Percent of children who have been diagnosed as hyperactive			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.160
	Percent or students who during structured play time are {a lot less, a little less, a little more, or a lot more} active than most children			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) TQ part C #4
	Percent of parents that report their child is {more physically active, less physically active, or as physically active} during structured activities like sports or activities at day care or school than other children			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) CHQ.130
	Body movement. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Sitting quietly Doing some squirming Having much movement Out of seat; body constantly in motion			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1c



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who pay attention {slightly less or much less} well than other children their own age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.100
	Percent of children who pay attention {most of the time, regularly but not all the time, occasionally or sometimes, or never}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #24)
	Percent of parents reporting they have been told by a teacher that their child doesn't concentrate, doesn't pay attention for long			NHES: 93 #R52c
	Percent of parents reporting they have been told by a teacher that their child had been very restless, fidgets all the time, or doesn't sit still			NHES: 93 #R52f
	Percent of parents who report their children are very restless and fidget a lot			NHES: 93 #R25
	Percent of parents who report their children have a very short attention span			NHES: 93 #R26
	Attention Span. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Focusing attention voluntarily Attending to assessor direction Having some distraction with noise or movement of others Being easily distracted			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1b



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children with poor concentration or limited attention		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who demonstrate fine motor skills (e.g., control of scissors or pencil)		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #55)	
	Percent of children who can button their own clothes		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #15a)	NHES: 93 #R18
	Percent of parents who report their children as better than other children on independence and at taking care of themselves compared to children their own age (or less independent, or much less independent than other children)			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.095
	Percent of children who demonstrate self-help skills (e.g., toileting, wiping nose, washing hands) with occasional teacher assistance {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #23—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who practice personal hygiene		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #57)	
	Percent of children who feed themselves and participate appropriately in mealtime activities	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who can dress themselves appropriately	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who can attend to their own hygiene needs	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who take care of their own belongings	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who have difficulty hearing and understanding speech in normal conversation			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.230



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who have hearing loss of some type			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.260
	Percent of children with untreated hearing/vision problems at kindergarten entry		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children with hearing and vision problems at the time of entry into kindergarten		Minnesota (Early Childhood Screening)	
	Percent of children who are deaf			NHES: 91 #C11; NHES: 95 #M4; NHES: 99 #PT5
	Percent of children who are hard of hearing			NHES: 91 #C11; NHES: 95 #M4; NHES: 99 #PT5
	Percent of children who can hear clearly without a hearing aid. (If not, can hear clearly with a hearing aid.)		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #15f)	
	Percent of children who turn on the television at a very high volume			NHES: 93 #R30



	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
	Local	State	National
ercent of children who have difficulty seeing bjects in the distance or letters on paper ercent of children whose eyesight allows them to see rint in children whose eyesight allows them to see rint in children whose eyesight allows them to see orm and/or color of objects, but not detail ercent of children whose eyesight sees no light or has o light perception ercent of children who have visual impairment or lindness ercent of children who can see clearly without lasses. (If not, can see clearly with glasses.)	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)	North Carolina (School	ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.285 ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.320 ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.320 ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.320 NHES: 91#C11; NHES: 95 #M4; NHES: 99 #PT5
ea bjjele ri er o er er er o er	recentage of children who have difficulty seeing jects in the distance or letters on paper recent of children whose eyesight allows them to see int in children's storybooks recent of children whose eyesight allows them to see im and/or color of objects, but not detail recent of children whose eyesight sees no light or has light perception recent of children who have visual impairment or indiness	recent of children who receive regular vision and aring screenings NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)	recent of children who receive regular vision and aring screenings NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
•	Percent of children who bend over to look very closely at pictures or drawings			NHES: 93 #R31
	Percent of children who use special equipment for children with special needs (wheelchair, communication board, other assistive device)			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.410
	Percent of children who have any kind of handicapping condition			NHES: 91 #C10
	Percent of children having disabilities whose disability/disabilities affect(s) their ability to learn			FACES-K parent (Spring 1998) #E4; FACES-K parent (Spring 1999) #E4; FACES-K parent (Spring 2000) # K3; NHES: 93 #R105
	Percent of parents reporting that a doctor or professional told them that their children were developmentally delayed			NHES: 93 #R104; NHES: 95 # M3
	Percent of children with IEPs Pre-K and K		Rhode Island	
	Percent of children with undetected disability/developmental problem that requires special education services at kindergarten entry		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children with a history of lead poisoning		Rhode Island	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)			
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National	
	Asthma hospitalization rate for children	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	Rhode Island		
	Percent of children with healthy diets	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database			
	Percent of children with appropriate nutrition	NOWG, Administrative Data (Healthcare provider records, school health records, parent surveys/ interviews)			
	Percent of parents who report in the past 12 months that their child was hungry but they could not afford more food			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) FDQ.250	
	Percent of parents who report in the past 12 months that their child skipped a meal because there wasn't enough money for food			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) FDQ.220	
	Percent of parents who report that in the last 12 months their child went a whole day without eating because there wasn't enough money for food			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) FDQ.250	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
•	Percent of children whose ability to learn appears to be inhibited by hunger {not observed, seldom, sometimes, or often}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #24c—teacher)	
	Percent of children who are aware of the importance of nutrition, physical fitness, and basic health care to overall physical health	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys or interviews, child surveys or interviews, medical records)		
	Percent of parents who report they have been told by a teacher that their child is often sleepy or tired in class			NHES: 93 #R521
	Percent of children whose ability to learn appears to be inhibited by fatigue {not observed, seldom, sometimes, or often}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #24b—teacher)	
	Percent of children who are in the expected range of cardiovascular fitness, flexibility muscle strength, and endurance for their age	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
Trovoz De rezopriteiro	Percent of children with an appropriate ratio of body fat to lean muscle mass for their age	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		
	Percent of children who actively engage in developmentally appropriate physical activities at least three times per week	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		
	Percent of children who are aware of the potential dangers of drugs, alcohol, and tobacco	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys or interviews, child surveys or interviews, medical records)		
	Percent of children who are aware of the risks of unintentional injury and ways of reducing those risks	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys or interviews, child surveys or interviews, medical records)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of families who make arrangements to provide extra opportunities and resources for child's development	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
Social and Emotional Development	Percent of parents who report that their children behave and relate to other children and adults {better, slightly less well, or much less well} than other children their own age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.325
	Percent of children who form and maintain friendships {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #5)
	Percent of children with difficulty working with other students		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who can meet/play with different children their own age {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #1—teacher)	
	Percent of children who use problem-solving skills to address social dilemmas with peers {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #2—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who take part in interactive play with others {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #1)	
	Percent of children who use peers as resources {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #40)	
	Percent of children who share resources (e.g., toys, manipulatives) with others {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #41)	
	Percent of children who work cooperatively with others in a give-and-take manner {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #39)	
	Percent of children who suggest appropriate solutions to conflicts (e.g., negotiate rules during play/work—who will go first, handle conflicts over materials by taking turns or playing together) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #43)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)			
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National	
•	Percent of children who accept peers' ideas for group activities {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time} Percent of children who argue with others {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #7) ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report,	
	Percent of children who comfort or help other children {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			#19) ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #20)	
	Percent of children who score {very high, high, average, low, or very low} on a measure of social skills (i.e., makes friends easily, accepts peer ideas)		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Social Skills Rating System, completed by teacher)		
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child has been having trouble taking turns, sharing, or cooperating with other children			NHES: 93 #R52g	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child gets along with other children or works well in a group			NHES: 93 #R52h
	Percent of children who have friends and are part of a positive social network	NOWG (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales or checklists, parent/guardian or teacher observations, child ratings of peers)		
	Percent of children who cooperate with peers and who volunteer to help peers with classroom or program tasks	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional		Local	State	National
Development	Percent of children who interact appropriately with other children Percent of children who respond appropriately to peer pressure {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time} Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child likes to speak out in class and express his/her own ideas Percent of children afraid to speak to people they	NOWG (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales or checklists, parent/guardian or teacher observations, child ratings of peers)		ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #18) NHES: 93 #52m
	don't know Percent of children who get along with people who are different {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #12)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
Development	Percent of children who are considerate of others and engage in helping behaviors	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)		
	Percent of children who respect and show concern for others	NOWG, Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)		
	Percent of children who recognize and respect similarities and differences between self and others	NOWG, Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who interact positively with adults in the classroom {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #6—teacher)	
	Percent of children who use adults as resources (e.g., ask questions, request materials) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #37)	
	Percent of children who initiate conversations with familiar adults {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #38)	
	Percent of children who ask for help when needed {never, sometimes, often, or very often}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #14d)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Ease of relationship (rapport). Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Immediately friendly Friendly but reserved Shy Very reluctant and/or fearful			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1g
	Percent of children who interact appropriately with adults	NOWG (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales or checklists, parent/guardian or teacher observations, child ratings of peers)		
	Percent of children who show sensitivity and respect for others (e.g., share with others or offer comfort when someone is hurt or sad) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #42)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
Development	Percent of children who are sensitive to the feelings of others {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time} Percent of children who express their own feelings, opinions, and ideas without putting down those of others {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time} Percent of children who appropriately express a range of emotions (happy, sad, angry, frustrated) {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently} Percent of children who demonstrate an appropriate range of affection and other emotions	NOWG, Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview or	Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #4—teacher)	ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #16) ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #2)
		ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who express feelings and needs in socially acceptable ways Percent of children who deal appropriately with frustration and unfavorable events	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales or checklists, structured observation of child behavior in program setting) NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales or checklists, structured observation of child behavior in program setting)		
	Percent of children who control temper {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #1)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who disturb ongoing activity {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #10)
	Percent of children who are disruptive in class		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who score {very high, high, average, low, or very low} on a measure of problem behaviors (i.e., fights with others, gets angry easily)		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Social Skills Rating System, completed by teacher)	
	Percent of children who fight with others {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #3)
	Percent of children who are overly aggressive to peers		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who respect the property of others {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #9)
	Percent of children who follow established rules/limits	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)		
	Percent of children who constantly seek attention		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who worry about things {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #4)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who appear lonely {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #6)
	Percent of children who show low self esteem {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #13)
	Percent of children who demonstrate or acknowledge their self-worth	NOWG, Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who perceive themselves as capable of learning	NOWG, Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)		
	Percent of children who act sad or depressed {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #22)
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child has often seemed sad or unhappy in class			NHES: 93 #R52e
	Percent of children whose ability to learn appears to be inhibited by emotional issues {not observed, seldom, sometimes, or often}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #24d—teacher)	
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child lacks confidence in learning new things or taking part in new activities			NHES: 93 #R52j



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
Development	Percent of children who easily adapt to changes in routine {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time} Confidence. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Very sure of self Confident with things they know; attempt new things with encouragement Reluctant to try new or difficult things Very uncertain; need much encouragement Percent of children who adapt to transitions within the school day {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently} Percent of children who participate in routine activities	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #5—teacher)	ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #21) FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1h



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who work independently {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #15)
	Percent of children with difficulty working independently and being self-directed		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who separate easily from caregiver {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #3—teacher)	
	Percent of children who can be left alone with a babysitter without a big fuss			NHES: 93 #R22
	Percent of children who accept responsibility for their actions and mistakes	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who act in ways that reflect an understanding of the responsibilities of a group or family membership	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Social and Emotional Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children showing individual developmentally appropriate progress in all areas of their development		Minnesota (Work Sampling System, Early Childhood Screening, part C-IFSP)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
Language Development	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a test of understanding of spoken words		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-III, Form A)	
	Percent of children who are proficient in understanding and interpreting a story or other text read to him/her			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #2); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report, Part C, #2)
	Percent of children who respond to questions {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #10)	
	Percent of children who follow directions {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #11)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Comprehension of directions. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Rapidly comprehending most directions given age expectations Understanding directions after several repetitions Partially comprehending directions Not appearing to comprehend most directions			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1e
	Percent of children who understand simple directions, requests, and information {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #16—teacher)	
	Percent of children who demonstrate age appropriate understanding of oral language	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)		
	Percent of children who have satisfactory scores on a measure of receptive vocabulary			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Section B



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who communicate needs, wants, or thoughts in primary language {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #15—teacher)	
	Percent of children who can recall and explain sequences of events (e.g. can tell about a recent activity, can retell a story) {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #19—teacher)	
	Percent of children who use their primary language appropriately to communicate needs and wants		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children who use language to communicate ideas, feelings, questions, or to solve problems {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #8)	
	Percent of children who use language to pretend or create {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #9)	
	Percent of children who can pronounce words, communicate with and understand others {better than, as well as, slightly less well than, or much less well than} other children their age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.200



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child's speech is hard to understand			NHES: 93 #R52k
	Percent of children who when they speak can be understood by a stranger		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #15d)	NHES: 93 #R27
	Percent of children who are proficient in using complex sentence structures			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #1); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report, Part C, #1)
	Percent of children who engage in conversation (e.g., complete sentences, turn-taking, etc.) {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #17—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who effectively use spoken language in an age-appropriate manner	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)		
	Verbalization. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Making many spontaneous comments Making occasional comments Responding only when spoken to Being extremely reluctant to speak or using inappropriate speech			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1f
	Percent of children who are proficient in producing rhyming words			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #4); ECLS –K (Spring 200) (Teacher Report, Part C, #4)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who have age- and culturally-appropriate vocabulary		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children with age-appropriate preliteracy skills (numbers, letters, writing, language)		Rhode Island (planned— SALT Survey)	
	Percent of children with age-appropriate literacy in primary language		Rhode Island (planned)	
	Percent of children who can demonstrate age- appropriate reading and writing skills	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who demonstrate early literacy skills	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, teacher ratings using scales, checklists or questionnaires, parent/teacher surveys, scores on school readiness screening)		
	Percent of children with emergent reading skills			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #E14-E23
	Percent of children who are proficient in easily and quickly naming all upper- and lower-case letters of the alphabet			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #3); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report, Part C, #3)
	Percent of children who are familiar with some letters of the alphabet			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #E6-E13, G7, G8, G9, G10, G11



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
Language Development	Percent of children who can recognize all the letters of the alphabet Percent of children who identify letters in the alphabet (e.g., recognize letter names) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always} Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of ability to recognize letters and simple words	Local	Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #17) North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Woodcock	FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) #G2; FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) #G2; FACES-K Parent (Spring 1999) #F1; NHES: 93 #R15; NHES: 99 #PE2
	Percent of children with age-appropriate letter recognition		Johnson Test of Achievement- Revised, Form A) Rhode Island (planned)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of phonemic awareness Percent of children who recognize that there is a		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing) Missouri	
	relationship between letters and sounds (e.g., recognize the sound of a letter or give a word that starts with the letter) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		(School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #18)	
	Percent of children who recognize that written spellings represent spoken words {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #19)	
	Percent of children who can recognize their name in print {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #20—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who recognize first name in print {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #26)	
	Percent of parents who say that their children look at books and magazines at home {rarely or never, monthly, weekly, or daily or almost daily}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #13)	
	Percent of children who show interest in books {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #12)	
	Percent of children who respond to texts (e.g., talk about books, laugh, make predictions, intone, question, or compare) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #16)	
	Percent of children who, even though they are not yet reading story books on their own, look at books with pictures and pretend to read			NHES: 93 #R89; NHES: 99 #PE7



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who use picture cues and/or context cues to construct meaning from text (e.g., when being read to) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #13)	
	Percent of children who "read" simple books (e.g., easy, beginning books or predictable books) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #20)	
	Percent of children who read environmental print (e.g., cereal boxes, logos, signs) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #15)	
	Percent of children who are proficient in reading simple books independently			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #6)
	Percent of children who are able to read story books on their own			FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) # G6
	Percent of children who are proficient in predicting what will happen next in stories			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #5)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who are proficient in demonstrating an understanding of some of the conventions of print Percent of children who have an awareness of the conventions of book reading Percent of children who exhibit book-handling skills (e.g., know how to hold a book and understand the direction of print) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always} Percent of children with age-appropriate familiarity and skills with books and print Percent of children who understand the purpose of books {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #14) Rhode Island (planned) Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire,	ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #8); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report, Part C, #8) FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #H1-H7



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who can identify the front of a book Percent of children who know that you read from left to right		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Story and Print Concepts- adapted from FACES) North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Story and Print Concepts- adapted from FACES)	
	Percent of children who are proficient in demonstrating early writing behaviors			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report, Part C, #7)
	Percent of children with emerging writing skills			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #CA1-CA9
	Percent of children who use pencils, crayons, and brushes to express ideas		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #21—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who scribble with intended meaning {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #21)	
	Percent of children who hold a pencil properly			NHES: 93 #R19
	Percent of children who can mostly write and draw rather than scribble		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #15b)	FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) #F8; FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) #G5; FACES-K Parent (Spring, 1999) # F8; NHES: 93 #R20
	Percent of children who can write their first name, even if some of the letters are backwards			FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) #F9;FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) #G4; FACES-K Parent (Spring, 1999) # F9; NHES: 93 #R17; NHES: 99 #PE4



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who use some letters in writing (e.g., letters from own name) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #22)	
	Percent of children who use letter-sound correspondence to write (i.e., invented spelling) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #23)	
	Percent of children who use a variety of resources (e.g., peers, books, environmental print) to facilitate writing {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #24)	
	Percent of children who share writing with others (e.g., tell others about the intended meaning in drawings and writing) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #25)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who report reading to their children every day			FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) #D1; FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) #I3; FACES-K Parent (Spring, 1999) # D1
	Percent of parents who read to elementary schoolaged child many times in the last year		Rhode Island	
	Percent of parents who took their elementary schoolaged children to the library many times in the past year		Rhode Island (SALT Survey)	
	Percent of parents who say that someone in their home reads to their children {rarely or never, monthly, weekly, or daily or almost daily}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #10)	
	Percent of parents who say that someone in their home tells stories to their children {rarely or never, monthly, weekly, or daily or almost daily}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #11)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Language Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who say that they and their children have conversations {rarely or never, monthly, weekly, or daily or almost daily}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #12)	
	Percent of children who speak Spanish as their primary language		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #5)	
	Percent of children showing individual developmentally appropriate progress in all areas of their development		Minnesota (Work Sampling System, Early Childhood Screening, part C-IFSP)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
Cognitive Development	Percent of parents who report their children learn, think and solve problems {better than, as well as, slightly less well then, or much less well than} other children their age			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.105
	Percent of children who score "ready" on a test of cognitive skills		South Carolina (Cognitive Skills Assessment Battery)	
	Percent of children with difficulty learning academic subjects		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children with age-appropriate reasoning and problem-solving skills		Rhode Island (planned)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who demonstrate age-appropriate pre-math and mathematics skills	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, teacher ratings using scales, checklists or questionnaires, parent/teacher surveys, scores on school readiness screening)		
	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of math ability (composite of 2 assessments of skills such as counting and concepts such as "big")		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Bracken Basic Concept Scale)	
	Percent of children who can count by rote to 10		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #64)	
	Percent of children who {cannot count, can count up to 5, up to 20, up to 50, or up to 100+}			NHES: 99 #PE3; NHES 93 #R16



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who can recognize and name some numbers to 10		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #65)	
	Percent of children who can write some numbers {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #28)	
	Percent of children who can count to the total number in a set of objects			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #D2, F1-F6, F7, F11, F13
	Percent of children who are proficient at solving problems involving numbers using concrete objects			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #18)
	Percent of children who are proficient at using a variety of strategies to solve math problems			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #21)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who are proficient at sorting, classifying and comparing math materials by various rules and attributes			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #15)
	Percent of children who determine "same," "more than," and "less than" by comparing (e.g., look at their own and another child's collection of buttons and say that they have more buttons than the other child) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #32)	
	Percent of children who are proficient at making classifications			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #AS 1-AS8
	Percent of children who classify objects used in daily experiences (e.g., sort knives, forks, and spoons, compare plastic dinosaurs, or identify similarities and difference among beads) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #27)	
	Percent of children who demonstrate early signs of ability to add and subtract with objects			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) # F7-F23



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who use numerical relationships to solve problems in daily life (e.g., use numbers to take lunch count or to figure out how many cookies are needed so that everyone can have one) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #29)	
	Percent of children who use spatial relationships to solve mathematical problems (e.g., rearrange blocks so they can all fit in a container, solve simple puzzles) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #33)	
	Percent of children who are proficient at showing an understanding of the relationship between quantities			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #17)
	Percent of children who are proficient at ordering a group of objects			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #16)
	Percent of children who order things according to relative differences (e.g., arrange dolls according to height or trucks according to size) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #30)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who make one-to-one correspondence (e.g., when playing a game, understand that "one" means to move their marker one space) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #31)	
	Percent of children who are proficient at using instruments accurately for measuring			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #20)
	Percent of children who are proficient at demonstrating an understanding of graphing activities			ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #19)
	Percent of children with age-appropriate numerical skills		Rhode Island (planned— SALT Survey)	
	Percent of children proficient at classifying and comparing living and non-living things in different ways			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report Part C, #14); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #14)



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who are proficient in using their senses to explore and observe			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report Part C, #12); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #12)
	Percent of children who are proficient at forming explanations based on observations and explorations			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report Part C, #13); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report Part C, #13)
	Percent of children who experiment with objects to produce effects (e.g., when playing with objects in water, may predict which objects will float and which objects will sink) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #35)	
	Percent of children who explain own actions by manipulating objects (e.g., "The tower will fall if I put another block on top") {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #36)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who generate, test and evaluate solutions to concrete problems Percent of children who can demonstrate an understanding of cause and effect relationships	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires) NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who are proficient in recognizing distinct differences in habits and living patterns between themselves and other groups of people they know			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) (Teacher Report Part C, #10); ECLS –K (Spring 2000) (Teacher Report
	Percent of children who can recognize the colors red, yellow, blue and green by name			Part C, #10) FACES-K Parent, (Spring 1998) #G1; FACES-K Parent, (Spring 1999) #G1; FACES-K Parent, (Spring 2000)
	Percent of children who can identify basic colors		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher	#G1; NHES: 93 #R14; NHES: 99 #PE1
	Percent of children who can identify colors		Survey, #63)	FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #D1



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who can name 10 basic colors Percent of children who recognize some basic shapes		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Color Bears- adapted from FACES) Missouri	
	(e.g., circle, square, triangle)		(School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #62)	
	Percent of children who show understanding of sequence of daily events (e.g., know that recess comes after story time) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost always}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #34)	
	Percent of children who can give their full name		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #58)	FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #A1



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who can identify their age		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #60)	FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #A2
	Percent of children who know their own birthday		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #61)	FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) #A3
	Percent of children who know how to contact an adult family member (e.g., know a parent's or grandparent's home or work telephone number)		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #59)	
	Percent of children who can decide when help is needed and obtain it in an emergency	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Cognitive Development		Local	State	National
	Percent of children showing individual developmentally appropriate progress in all areas of their development		Minnesota (Work Sampling System, Early Childhood Screening, part C-IFSP)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school		INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National	
Disposition to Learn	Percent of children who work independently {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #15)	
	Percent of children with difficulty working independently and being self-directed		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)		
	Percent of children who act impulsively {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}			ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #17)	
	Percent of children who know how and when to use adults as a resource {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #12—teacher)		
	Percent of children who take responsibility for belongings (e.g., hang up coat, put materials away) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #46)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who make choices {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #47)	
	Percent of children who cope with frustration and failure {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #51)	
	Percent of children with poor concentration or limited attention		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who pay attention during teacher- directed group activities (approximately 15 minutes) {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #11—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who can listen and attend to academic tasks on an age appropriate level	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, teacher ratings using scales, checklists or questionnaires, parent/teacher surveys, scores on school readiness screening)		
	Percent of children who stay focused and productive while playing/working in a group {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #49)	
	Percent of children who stay focused and productive while playing/working independently {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #48)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Attention to directions. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Listening carefully to entire direction Attending only to brief directions Plunging ahead after hearing only a portion of the directions Plunging ahead immediately			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1d
	Percent of children with difficulty following directions Degraph of children who follow simple rules and		Rhode Island (SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale)	
	Percent of children who follow simple rules and instructions in the classroom {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #7—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who are curious (ask questions, probe, try new things, etc.) {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently} Percent of children who show curiosity and interest (e.g., enjoy and notice new things in their environments) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores on school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #14—teacher) Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #44)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who explore and try new things (e.g., are willing to try new activities and explore new materials) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #45)	
	Percent of children who initiate activities in the classroom {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #13—teacher)	
	Percent of children who initiate and follow through on activities	NOWG, Data (teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who show eagerness to learn new things {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #14b)	ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #14)
	Percent of children who appear enthusiastic and interested in classroom activities {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #9—teacher)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have been told by a teacher that their child is very enthusiastic and interested in a lot of different things			NHES: 93 #R52i
	Percent of children who talk about what they are learning {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #52)	
	Percent of children who are motivated and actively involved in learning tasks	NOWG, Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, teacher ratings using scales, checklists or questionnaires, parent/teacher surveys, scores on school readiness screening)		



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who perform their assigned tasks at school, at home and in community-based program settings	NOWG, Data (Parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)		
	Percent of children who take pride in what they can do {never, sometimes, often, or very often}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment Parent Survey, #14e)	
	Percent of children who show pride in accomplishments {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Readiness Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #50)	
	Percent of children who say good things about school {never, sometimes, often, or very often}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment Parent Survey, #14f)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who persist with self-selected activities (approximately 15 minutes) with intermittent teacher attention {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #8—teacher)	
	Percent of children who persist in completing tasks {never, occasionally or sometimes, regularly but not all of the time, or most of the time}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #14a)	ECLS –K (Fall 1999) Social Rating Scale (Teacher Report, #23)
	Percent of children who use a variety of strategies to problem solve in the classroom {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #10—teacher)	
	Task Persistence. Percent of children who are described by interviewer as: Persisting with tasks Attempting tasks briefly Attempting tasks after much encouragement Refusing to attempt tasks			FACES-K Child (Spring 2001) Interviewer Rating #1a



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who show creativity in play or work {never, sometimes, often, or very often}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment Parent Survey, #14c)	
	Percent of children who engage in imaginative play {not observed, beginning, practicing, or performing independently}		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire, #22—teacher)	
	Percent of children who use play themes (e.g., pretend to be a fireman) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #2)	
	Percent of children who represent ideas and feelings through movement (e.g., act like a butterfly, airplane, or truck) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #3)	
	Percent of children who create or respond to music (e.g., clap hands to music, sing, dance, or play musical instruments) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #4)	



OUTCOME: HIGH RATES OF CHILDREN READY FOR SCHOOL	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Disposition to Learn		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who represent ideas through construction (e.g., build with blocks or other manipulatives) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never} Percent of children who use art (e.g., clay, pain, or crayons) to convey feelings and ideas {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #5) Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #6)	
	Percent of children who talk about their creations (e.g., talk about illustrations or constructions) {almost always, occasionally/sometimes, or not yet/almost never}		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Teacher Survey, #7)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A. Healthy, Wanted Birth		Local	State	National
Subcondition A. Healthy, Wanted Birth	Percent of children who were born weighing under 5.5 pounds	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998); Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	South Carolina, Rhode Island	ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.010; NHES: 91 #C8; NHES: 93 #R101; NHES: 95 #M1
	Percent of children who were born weighing less than 3 pounds		South Carolina, Rhode Island	ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.015; NHES: 91 #C8; NHES: 93 #R102
	Percent of low birth weight births		New York (Dept. of Health)	
	Percent of children who were born more than 2 weeks before they were due			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CHQ.025
	Percent of preterm births (less than 37 completed weeks of gestation)	Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A. Healthy, Wanted Birth		Local	State	National
	Percent of children receiving any care in an intensive care unit, premature nursery or any other type of special care facility upon birth			NHES: 93 #R103
	Infant mortality rate	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	South Carolina, Rhode Island	
	Percent of births to teens	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998)	South Carolina	
	Births to teens ages 15 to 17		Rhode Island	
	Percent of repeat teen births	Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A. Healthy, Wanted Birth		Local	State	National
Treating, Wanted Bitti	Number of births to mothers receiving Medicaid, by age group: Less than 18 18-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35+ Dirths to forceles and on 18 per 1 000 highs	Sustainable	South Carolina	
	Births to females under 18 per 1,000 births	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of births to mothers without 12 years of education	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Number of live births to mothers with less than a high school education		New York (Dept. of Health)	
	Percent of births to unwed mothers	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A.		Local	State	National
Healthy, Wanted Birth				
	Percent of births to unmarried women	Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998)		
	Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of women receiving late or no prenatal care	Kids Count, City Trends (1990- 1998)	Rhode Island	
	Percent of women who received no prenatal care		South Carolina	
	Percent of women who received inadequate prenatal care		South Carolina	
	Percent of mothers who smoked during pregnancy	<u>Kids Count, City</u> <u>Trends (1990-1998)</u>		
	Substance-exposed newborns per 1,000 live births	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B.		Local	State	National
Development on Track				
Subcondition B. Development on Track	Percent of parents reporting their children are in {excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor} health			NHES: 93 #R106; NHES: 95 #M2
	Percent of children who are in expected range of height and weight for their age	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care who have up- to-date immunizations		Rhode Island (Rite Care; KIDSNET)	
	Percent of children who receive childhood immunizations at recommended ages	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children under age 2 seen in public health clinics that were not fully immunized		South Carolina	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B. Development on Track		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who received the required immunization for 2-year olds by the time they were 2 years old		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #7)	
	Percent of parents who have a particular place that they take their children for routine care such as getting checkups or shots			NHES: 93 #R109
	Length of time since child has seen a medical doctor or other health professional for a checkup, shots or other routine care: less than 1 year, 1 year but less than 2 years, 2 years or more			NHES: 93 #R110; NHES: 99 #PT2
	Percent of children who had a health screening (a "well-baby" checkup) by 2 years of age		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #8)	
	Percent of parents who could {usually or almost never} access medical care for their child when it was needed from birth to school entry as		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #9)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B. Development on Track		Local	State	National
•	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care with regular, timely well-child visits		Rhode Island (Rite Care; KIDSNET)	
	Percent of children who visit a health care provider for preventative check-ups at a regular interval	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children with developmental screening at age 3		Rhode Island (ChildFind— Child Outreach Program)	
	Hospitalization for children age 0-5—total discharge rate		South Carolina	
	Hospitalization for children age 0-5—percent of discharges classified self pay or indigent		South Carolina	
	Emergency room visits for children age 0-5—total discharge rate		South Carolina	
	Leading causes of hospitalization for children age 0-2		South Carolina	
	Leading causes of hospitalization for children age 3-5		South Carolina	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B. Development on Track		Local	State	National
	Percent of children under age 6 without health insurance		Rhode Island (Current Population Survey)	
	Percent of children who have adequate health insurance to cover basic medical care	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children who have ever been to a dentist or dental hygienist			NHES: 93 #R111; NHES: 99 #PT3
	Percent of children on Rite Care with dental exam by age 5		Rhode Island (Rite Care; KIDSNET)	
	Length of time since child has seen a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care: less than 1 year, 1 year but less than 2 years, 2 years or more			NHES: 93 #R112; NHES: 99 #PT4



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B. Development on Track		Local	State	National
,	Percent of children who receive regular dental care	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children who feed themselves and participate in appropriately in mealtime activities	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who can dress themselves appropriately	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who can attend to their own hygiene needs	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		
	Percent of children who take care of their own belongings	NOWG, Data (Teacher/parent surveys, teacher observation)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B.		Local	State	National
Development on Track				
	Percent of children who achieve basic motor	NOWG,		
	milestones within expected range of development	Administrative		
		Data (Health care		
		providers records, school health		
		records, parent		
		surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of Children who receive regular vision and	NOWG,		
	hearing screenings	Administrative		
		Data (Health care		
		providers records,		
		school health		
		records, parent		
		surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children under age 6 on Rite Care who		Rhode Island	
	have accessed mental health services		(Rite Care;	
			<u>KIDSNET)</u>	
	Percent of eligible children enrolled in comprehensive		Rhode Island	
	birth to 3 program (i.e., Early Head Start, Early Start)		<u>(RI</u>	
			DHS/DCYF)	
	Number of children enrolled in Early Intervention		Rhode Island	
			(RI Dept. of	
			<u>Health</u>)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B.		Local	State	National
Development on Track	Percent of children (ages 0-3) receiving early		Delaware (Dept.	
	intervention		of Health and Social Services)	
	Percent of children in early intervention		New York (Dept. of Health)	
	Percent of parents who have been told by a doctor or other health professional that their child was developmentally delayed			NHES: 93 #R104; NHES: 95 #M3; NHES: 99 #PT1
	Percent of children who currently have a disability			NHES: 93 #R105A
	Percent of children with IEPs in pre-kindergarten and kindergarten		Rhode Island	
	Percent of children who received services from Babynet (early intervention program for all children under age 3 with developmental disabilities)		South Carolina	
	Percent of children who participated in Child Rehabilitative Services		South Carolina	
	Percent of children enrolled in preschool special education		New York (Dept. of Health)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B.		Local	State	National
Development on Track				
	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care screened for lead poisoning		Rhode Island (Rite Care; KIDSNET)	
	Percent of children with healthy diets	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of children with appropriate nutrition	NOWG, Administrative Data (Health care providers records, school health records, parent surveys/interviews)		
	Percent of children participating in the WIC program		South Carolina	
	Percent of WIC participants who met the criteria for malnutrition		South Carolina	
	Percent of WIC participants who met the criteria for anemia		South Carolina	
	Rates of hospitalization resulting from asthma, ages 0-4		New York (Dept. of Health)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B.		Local	State	National
Development on Track				
	Percent of children who are in the expected range of cardiovascular fitness, flexibility muscle strength, and endurance for their age	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		
	Percent of children with an appropriate ratio of body fat to lean muscle mass for their age	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		
	Percent of children who actively engage in developmentally appropriate physical activities at least three times per week	NOWG, Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION 1: HEALTHY PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C. No Preventable Disease or Injury		Local	State	National
Subcondition C. No Preventable Disease or Injury	Percent of parents reporting their children are in {excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor} health			NHES: 93 #R106; NHES: 95 #M2
	Emergency room visits for children age 0-5—percent of discharges that were classified as injury		South Carolina	
	Child injury hospitalization rate for children 0-5		Rhode Island (Dept. of Health)	
	Emergency room visits for children age 0-5—percent of discharges that were classified as non-injury		South Carolina	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Percent of children whose biological parents are currently living together in a marriage like relationship			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) MHQ.030; NHES: 91 #C3
Trome	Percent of children who are living with one biological parent and one non-biological parent who are legally married			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) MHQ.040
	Percent of children who are living with one biological parent and one non-biological parent who are currently living together in a marriage like relationship			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) MHQ.045
	Percent of children who live with their mother			FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998, 1999, 2000) # L4
	Percent of children who live with their father			FACES-K Parent (Spring 2000) # L20



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of married couple families with children under 6		South Carolina	
	Percent of single parent households with children under 6		South Carolina	
	Percent of single male headed households with children under 6		South Carolina	
	Percent of single female headed households with children under 6		South Carolina	
	Household type and relationship to children under 6 years: Living with their own parents (married couple, single father, single mother) Living with grandparents (married couple, single) Living with other relatives (married couple, single) Living with non-relatives (family setting, non-family setting) Living in group quarters (institution, other)		South Carolina	
	Percent of children's parents who are separated			NHES: 91 #C3
	Percent of children's parents who are divorced			NHES: 91 #C3



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of children's parents who are widowed Percent of children whose biological parents are legally married			NHES: 91 #C3 ECLS-K (Fall 1999) MHQ.020;
	Percent of parents whose relationship with current partner is {very happy, fairly happy, or not too happy}			NHES: 91 #C3 ECLS-K (Spring 1999) CFQ.100
	Children involved in divorce per 1,000 children	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of family groups with only one parent living with a child under 18	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of families in which, in a typical week, at least some of the family eats breakfast together {0-7 days per week}			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) HEQ.500



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of families in which, in a typical week, children have breakfast at a regular time {0-7 days per week}			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) HEQ.510
	Percent of families who eat the evening meal together {0-7 days per week}			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) HEQ.520
	Percent of families in which the evening meal is served at a regular time {0-7 days per week}			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) HEQ.530
	Percent of families in which children have a regular bedtime on weeknights during the school year			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) HEQ.550
	Percent of families with parenting knowledge and skills to anticipate and meet developmental needs of their children		Minnesota (Early Childhood Family Education, School Readiness, Head Start)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of families with appropriate parenting skills to anticipate and meet developmental needs of children	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of families providing environments supportive of their child's education and learning	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		
	Percent of parents who read to their children {never, several times a year, several times a month, at least 3 times a week, or every day} Percent of families with preschool children that read to their child every day		Rhode Island (Department of Health, informal survey)	NHES: 91 #P19, E36



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who read for enjoyment {every day, several times a week, several times a month, several times a year, or never}			NHES: 91 #E37
	Number of children's books child has of his/her own: none, 1-2, 3-9, 10 or more books			NHES: 91 #E35
	Percent of families receiving a daily newspaper			NHES: 91 #E38
	Percent of parents who have read to their child in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24, E44
	Percent of parents who have read to their child {not at all, 1-2 times, 3-6 times, or every day} in the past week		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #18a)	
	Percent of parents who have taught their child letters, words, or numbers in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24; NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 99 #PS3



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have taught their child letters, words or numbers in the past week {not at all, 1-2 times, 3-6 times, or every day}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #18c)	
	Percent of parents who have taught their child songs or music in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24; NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 99 #PS3
	Percent of parents who have told their child a story in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24; NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 95 #L3; NHES: 99 #PS3
	Percent of parents who have told their child a story in the past week {not at all, 1-2 times, 3-6 times, every day}		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #18b)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have done arts and crafts with their children in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24, E44; NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 99 #PS3
	Percent of parents who have played games or sports with their child in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24, E44; NHES: 93 R99
	Percent of parents who have played with toys or games with their child in the past week			NHES: 93 R99
	Percent of parents who have taken their child along while doing errands like going to the post office, the bank or the store			NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 99 #PS3
	Percent of parents who involve their child in household chores like cooking, cleaning, setting the table or caring for pets			NHES: 93 R99; NHES: 99 #PS3
	Percent of parents who have visited a library with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45; NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 95 #L5; NHES: 99 #PS4



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have gone to a movie with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45
	Percent of parents who have gone to a play, concert or other live show with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45; NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 99 #PS4
	Percent of parents who have visited an art gallery, museum or historical site with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45; NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 99 #PS4
	Percent of parents who have visited a zoo or aquarium with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45; NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 99 #PS4
	Percent of parents who have visited a playground or park with their child in the past month			NHES: 91 # P25, E45
	Percent of parents who have discussed current events with their child in the past week			NHES: 91 #E44
	Percent of parents who have discussed science or nature with their child in the past week			NHES: 91 #E44



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have talked with their child about their family history or ethnic heritage in the past month			NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 99 #PS4
	Percent of parents who have attended an event sponsored by a community or religious group in the past month			NHES: 93 #R100; NHES: 99 #PS4
	Percent of parents who have watched educational television with their child in the past week			NHES: 91 # P24, E44
	Percent of families with preschool children that regulate television viewing/computer use		Rhode Island (planned— Department of Health, informal survey)	
	Percent of children who have access to and use a home computer			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.220



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of family members who attend and participate in community based programs in which their child attends	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		
	Percent of parents actively involved in their children's learning and education		Minnesota (Early Childhood Family Education, School Readiness, Head Start)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents who have contacted their children's teachers or schools for any reason having to do with their children Percent of parents who have attended an open house or back-to-school night since the beginning of the year			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) PIQ.110 ECLS-K (Spring 2000) PIQ.130
	Percent of families who make arrangements to provide extra opportunities and resources for child's development	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of families who are knowledgeable about community resources and who are connected to appropriate service providers/agencies	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		
	Percent of parents with mental health problems			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) PPQ.100- PPQ.210
	Percent of children born to parents with a history of mental health problems		Rhode Island (New Born Screening, Department of Health)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Percent of children born to parents with a history of substance problems		Rhode Island (New Born Screening, Department of Health)	
	Percent of parents who are warm and affectionate with their chidlren			ECLS-K (Spring 1999) DWQ.010- DWQ.070
	Percent of children who move more than once a year	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Number of children under age 6 living in homeless shelters		Rhode Island (RI Emergency Shelter Information System)	
	Percent of households where no-one over age 13 speaks English well	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition A: Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home		Local	State	National
	Number of children under age 6 in foster care Percent of children under age 6 in foster care who are placed in a permanent home Percent of children under 6 in DCYF care who had multiple placements Children in foster care per 1,000 children	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	Rhode Island Rhode Island (Planned— DCYF) Rhode Island (Planned— DCYF)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
High Quality Child Care				
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care	Percent of children who receive child care on {school days, weekends, or both}			NHES: 95 #E8; NHES: 95 #G17
	Percent of children who receive child care {before school, after school, or both}			NHES: 95 #E9; NHES: 95 #G18
	Percent of children who were cared for regularly by someone other than their parents for more than 10 hours a week in the year before kindergarten.		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #16)	
	Percent of children in child care arrangements the year before kindergarten, by type of care: Care by a relative Babysitter or nanny (not a relative) Head Start Preschool at a public school Child care center or preschool (not Head Start, not in a public school) Family daycare (not in child's own home)		North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— Parent Survey, #17)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
High Quality Child Care	Descent of shildren who had such of the following		Missonni	
	Percent of children who had each of the following experiences for {less than one year, 1-2 years, or more than 2 years}, and whether or not those programs were licensed or accredited: Parents as Teachers First Steps Early Childhood Special Education Early Head Start Head Start Public preschool Private preschool Child care at a center Parent care at own home Child care at own home		Missouri (School Entry Assessment Project, Parent/Guardian Survey, #14)	
	Child care at another private home Percent of children enrolled in early care and education program the year prior to school entry (kindergarten) Percent FIP enrolled children participating in child care programs by type of program Number of early care and education slots per 100 children ages birth to 3 in need of care Number of early care and education slots per 100 children ages 3 to 5 in need of care		Rhode Island (SALT Survey) Rhode Island (RI DHS) Rhode Island Rhode Island	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care		Local	State	National
	Estimated percent that need child care		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Number of children on childcare waiting list	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of children who have ever received child care from a relative on a regular basis			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.015; NHES: 95 #D2;
	Percent of children who are currently receiving child care from a relative on a regular basis			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.010; NHES: 91 #P1A, E29A; NHES: 95 #D1; NHES: 99 #PF1; NHES: 99 #PN1
	Percent of children who are currently receiving child care in a private home on a regular basis from nonrelatives (including care provided before or after school)			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.115; NHES: 91 #P2A; NHES: 95 #E1; NHES: 99 #PG1; NHES: 99 #PO1



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care		Local	State	National
	Percent of children who have ever received care in a private home from a nonrelative on a regular basis			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.120; NHES: 91 E30A; NHES: 95 #E2
	Percent of children who are currently attending a day care center or a before or after school program at a school or in a center on a regular basis			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.260; NHES: 91 #P3A; NHES: 93 #R36; NHES: 95 #G5
	Percent of children who have ever attended a day care center or a before or after school program at a school or in a center on a regular basis			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.265; NHES: 91 #E31; NHES: 95 #G6
	Percent of children currently in daycare who go a full day			NHES: 91 #P3D; NHES: 95 #G16
	Percent of children currently in daycare who go a half day			NHES: 91 #P3E; NHES: 95 #G16
	Percent of children currently in daycare with educational programs			NHES: 91 #P3F; NHES: 93 #R40
	Percent of children who have ever attended Head Start			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) CCQ.210; NHES: 93 #R33



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)			
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National	
High Quality Child Care					
	Percent of children currently enrolled in Head Start			NHES: 93 #R32; NHES: 95 #F1	
	Percent of low-income children in comprehensive child care program/Head Start		Rhode Island		
	Participation rate of eligible 4-year-olds in Head Start and early child assistance program		Delaware (Dept. of Education)		
	Percent of children currently in daycare with Head Start Programs			NHES: 91 #P3G	
	Percent of children currently attending a nursery school, prekindergarten, or Head Start program.			NHES: 91 #P4A	
	Percent of children who have ever attended a nursery school, prekindergarten, or Head Start program.			NHES: 91 #E32	
	Number of children ages 3-5 enrolled in pre-primary school (public and private)		South Carolina		
	Percent of children enrolled in pre-school	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card			



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care		Local	State	National
	Number of communities that offer universal access to school-based or school-linked preschool		Vermont ("Ready Schools" Principal Questionnaire, #7)	
	Number of licensed centers		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Number of licensed child care spaces available for every 100 children of working parents		Minnesota (Child Care Resource and Referral, Census data)	
	Number of registered family day care homes		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Percent of 4-year-olds enrolled in licensed/registered early childhood programs		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
High Quality Child Care				
	Number of regulated/licensed child care programs		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	
	Percent of child care slots in accredited programs		Rhode Island	
	Number of accredited child care facilities		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	
	Percent of family child care slots in accredited programs		Rhode Island	
	Number of nationally accredited early child care and education sites		Delaware (planned; National Association for the Education of Young Children)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
High Quality Child Care	Number of nationally accredited family child care homes		Delaware (planned; National Association for the Education of Young Children)	
	Percent of child care center staff with early childhood education degree		Rhode Island (Rate Survey)	
	Percent of family child care staff with early childhood training		Rhode Island (Rate Survey)	
	Percent of child care center slots in programs with low staff turnover rate		Rhode Island (Rate Survey)	
	Number of serious injuries (abuse) in child care		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Number of reported injuries or deaths in child care		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care		Local	State	National
	Percent of children whose child care providers have received education or training specifically related to young children, such as in early childhood education or child psychology			NHES: 95 #E24
	Percent of children who were in a regulated early childhood program (licensed center, registered family day care home, Head Start, or other preschool) in the year before kindergarten		Vermont ("Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire— teacher)	
	Percent of early care and education slots in programs without health and safety violations		Rhode Island (planned— DCYF Child Care Licensing)	
	Percent of parents using quality child care arrangements as defined by training levels, years of experience, and accreditation		Minnesota (Child Care Resource and Referral)	
	Percent of early care and education programs of high quality versus poor quality		Rhode Island (planned— DCYF/DHS)	
	Percent of adults who are satisfied with their childcare	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: High Quality Child Care		Local	State	National
	Percent of Minnesota families paying more than 10% of their income for child care		Minnesota (MN Household Child Care Survey)	
	Percent of families receiving child care assistance		Minnesota (Child Care Assistance Data)	
	Percent of licensed child care providers who are willing to care for children receiving child care assistance		Minnesota (Child Care Resource and Referral)	
	Percent of income-eligible families using child care subsidies (i.e., child care subsidy "take-up rate")		Rhode Island	
	Percent of families receiving child care support		Delaware (planned; Dept. of Health and Social Services)	
	Percent of families on child care subsidies		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
High Quality Child Care		Local		1 (40101141
	Number of subsidized slots		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)	
	Average weekly rate for child care		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Subsidized weekly rate for child care		Vermont (Child Care Services Division)	
	Percent of families who have access to resources in making child care decisions		Minnesota (Child Care Resource and Referral)	
	Percent of children and families whose transportation needs for child care and other early education are met		Minnesota (School Readiness)	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure	Total income of all persons in household: \$25,000 or less, more than \$25,000			NHES:91 #S22; NHES:93 #R168; NHES:93 #P123; NHES: 95 #P8; NHES: 99 #PW6
	Median income for families with children under age 6, by household type: Married couple household Single male headed household Single female headed household		South Carolina	
	Personal income per capita	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Average earnings per job	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Median family income as percent of US median	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C:		Local	State	National
Family Economically Secure				
Secure	Per capita income as percent of state average	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Per capita income in non metropolitan areas	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Poverty status for families with children under age 5, by household type: Children living in a married couple household with an income below the poverty level Children living in a single parent household with an income below the poverty level Children living with a single father with an income below the poverty level Children living with a single mother with an income below the poverty level		South Carolina	
	Ratio of income to poverty status for families with children under age 5: Families living at 50% of poverty and below Families living at 100% of poverty and below Families living at 185% of poverty and below Families living at 200% of poverty and below		South Carolina	



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Percent of children under age 6 below the poverty line		Rhode Island	
	Percent of children/youth in poverty		New York (Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance)	
	Percent of children living in poverty	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of jobs that pay a livable wage for a family of two	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of jobs that did not pay a self sufficiency wage	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Percent of people living below the poverty line	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of households with incomes more than 200 percent above poverty line	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Employment Rate	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Unemployment rate	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Employment status of parents in families with children under age 6, by family type (both parents present, single mother, single father): Both parents are in the labor force One parent is in the labor force No parent is in the labor force Hours worked per week of parents with children under age 6, by family type (both parents present, single mother, single father) Percent of mothers with low education	Kids Count, City	South Carolina South Carolina	
	Highest grade or year of school that parent(s) completed: up to 8 th grade, 9 th to 11 th grade, 12 th grade but no diploma, high school diploma/equivalent, vo/tech program after high school but no vo/tech diploma, vo/tech diploma after high school, some college but no degree, associates degree, bachelors degree, graduate or professional school but no degree, masters degree (MA, MS), doctorate degree (PhD, EDD), professional degree beyond bachelors degree (medicine/MD, dentistry/DDS, law/JD/LLB, etc.) Number of births to mothers with less than a high school diploma	Trends (1990- 1998)	North Carolina (School Readiness Assessment— parent survey #9 & 10) South Carolina	NHES: 95 #N7; NHES: 99 #PU5; NHES: 93 #R137



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults reporting they work for pay	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #62		
	Percent of adults reporting they are enrolled in school or in a vocational training program	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #63		
	Percent of adults reporting they are looking for employment	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #64		
	Percent of residents who want to work full time who actually work full time	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of disposable personal income that is being saved	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Per capita debt	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents reporting that since their child was born, their family had serious financial problems or was unable to pay the monthly bills			ECLS-K (Fall 1999) EMQ.190
	Percent of parents reporting that in between a child's birth and their fifth birthday, their family had serious financial problems or was unable to pay the monthly bills			NHES: 93 #R125
	Percent of parents reporting that in between a child's birth and their fifth birthday, their family was on welfare or received AFDC (Aid to Families with Dependent Children)			NHES: 93 #R129
	Percent of children currently receiving AFDC	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of AFDC recipients who have received it for more than 24 consecutive months	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of residents receiving welfare	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
	Percent of children ages 0-4 in families receiving welfare		Vermont (Dept. of Social Welfare)	
	Number of single mothers with children under 6 who are on TANF		South Carolina	
	Percent of children receiving public assistance		New York (Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance)	
	Percent of parents reporting that in between a child's birth and their fifth birthday, their family received food stamps			NHES: 93 #R127
	Percent of children receiving food stamps		New York (Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance)	
	Percent of people who are food stamp recipients	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Family Economically Secure		Local	State	National
Secure	Number of single mothers with children under 6 who are receiving food stamps		South Carolina	
	Number of single mothers with children under 6 who are on Medicaid		South Carolina	
	Average healthcare as a percent of income	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Health care cost relative to state/local expenditure	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of population covered by health insurance	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of children eligible for free or reduced price lunch	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would suggest doing something, just to take their mind off their problems	SS-B #1; ISSB #6		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would visit them or invite them over	<u>SS-B #2</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would comfort them if they were upset	SS-B #3		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them a ride if they needed one	SS-B #4		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would have lunch or dinner with them	<u>SS-B #5</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would look after their belongings (house, pets, etc.) for a while	<u>SS-B #6; ISSB #4</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would loan them a car if needed	SS-B #7		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would joke around or suggest doing something to cheer them up	<u>SS-B #8; ISSB #</u> <u>37</u>		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would go to a movie or concert with them	SS-B #9		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would suggest how they could find out more about a situation	SS-B #10		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them with a move or big chore	SS-B #11		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would listen to them if they needed to talk about their feelings	<u>SS-B #12; ISSB</u> <u>#24</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would have a good time with them	SS-B #13		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would pay for their lunch if they were broke	SS-B #14		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would suggest a way they might do something	<u>SS-B #15, ISSB</u> <u>#15</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them encouragement to do something difficult	SS-B #16		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them advice about what to do	SS-B #17		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would chat with them	<u>SS-B #18; ISSB</u> <u>#7</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them figure out what they want to do	SS-B #19		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would show them that they understood what they want to do	SS-B #20		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would buy them a drink if they were short of money	SS-B #21		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them decide what to do	<u>SS-B #22; ISSB</u> <u>#16</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them a hug or otherwise show them they are cared about	SS-B #23		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would call them just to see how they are doing	SS-B #24		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
ENVIRONMENTS Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them figure out what was going on	SS-B #25		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them out with some necessary purchases	SS-B #26		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would not pass judgement on them	SS-B #27		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them who to talk to for help	<u>SS-B #28</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would lend them money for an indefinite period of time	SS-B #29		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would be sympathetic if they were upset	SS-B #30		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would stick by them in a crunch	SS-B #31		
	Percent of adults who feeling that a friend or family member would buy them clothes if they were short of money	SS-B #32		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them about the available choices and options	SS-B #33		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would loan them tools, equipment, or appliances if they needed them	SS-B #34		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them reasons why they should or should not do something	SS-B #35		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would show affection for them	SS-B #36		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would show them how to do something they don't know how to do	<u>SS-B #37, ISSB</u> <u>#35</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would bring them little presents or things they need	SS-B #38		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them the best way to get something done	SS-B #39		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would talk to other people to arrange something for them	SS-B #40		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would loan them money and want to "forget about it"	SS-B #41		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them what to do	SS-B #42		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would offer them a place to stay for a while	SS-B #43; ISSB #38		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them think about a problem	SS-B #44		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would loan them a fairly large sum of money (the equivalent of a month's rent or mortgage)	SS-B #45		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them understand why they didn't do something well	ISSB #23		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them feedback on how they are doing	ISSB #36		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would make it clear what is expected of them	ISSB #13		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would give them some information to help them understand a situation	<u>ISSB #19</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would check back with them to see if they followed their advice	<u>ISSB #21</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them who they should see for assistance	<u>ISSB #32</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them what to expect in a future situation	<u>ISSB #33</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would say things to make their situation clearer	ISSB #27		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would assist them in setting a goal for themselves	<u>ISSB #12</u>		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them what they did in a similar situation	<u>ISSB #5</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them how they felt in a similar situation	<u>ISSB #28</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them that they feel very close to them	ISSB #28		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would let them know they will always be around when help is needed	<u>ISSB #29</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them they are okay just the way they are	<u>ISSB #10</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would express interest and concern for their well-being	ISSB #30		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would comfort them by showing them some physical affection	<u>ISSB #18</u>		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would tell them they would keep their conversations confidential	ISSB #11		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would express esteem or respect for a competency of theirs	<u>ISSB #14</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would be there for them in a stressful situation	<u>ISSB #2</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would agree with them that what they want to do is right	<u>ISSB #26</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would let them know that they did something well	<u>ISSB #8</u>		
	Percent of adults who feel that a friend or family member would help them do something that needs to be done	ISSB # 39		
	Percent of adults who feel a sense of community with other people on their block (e.g., they share interests and concerns)	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #C1		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who care about the block they live on	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #C3		
	Percent of adults who participate in a block organization	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #D1		
	Percent of adults who have done volunteer work in their community in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #65		
	Average rescue call response time	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Emergency calls per capita	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent citizen participation in community projects	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION II: SUPPORTIVE SOCIAL & COGNITIVE ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition D: Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal		Local	State	National
T OTHER SITE THE OTHER	Percent of people feeling they can rely on others in the community for help	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent who say most people can be trusted	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Neighborhood livability as rated by residents	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Percent of adults who are satisfied with the building they live in	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #3		
	Percent of adults who are satisfied with the apartment they are living in	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #4		
	Percent of housing units whose condition is: Sound Having minor/some deterioration Having major deterioration Being dilapidated/abandoned	Hope VI Windshield Survey #5		
	Percent of dwellings in need of major repair	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with peeling paint on walls or broken plaster	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #6		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with plumbing that doesn't work	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #7		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with rats or mice	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #8		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with broken locks or no locks on the door to the unit	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #9		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with broken windows or windows without screens	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #10		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with a heating system that doesn't work	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #11		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with a stove or refrigerator that does not work	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #12		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with exposed wire or electrical problems	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #13		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with broken light bulbs that are not replaced for at least 24 hours	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #27		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with trash and junk in the halls and stairwells	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #28		
	Percent of adults who report feeling that people in their building generally help each other out	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #39		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 12 months there were vacant apartments boarded up	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #48		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 12 months there were vacant apartments rented to new tenants within 30 days	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #49		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 30 days there were things fixed by the housing authority	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #50		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 12 months the elevators were not working for more than 24 hours	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #51		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 12 months there were problems with trash piling up in the hallways	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #52		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building during the past 12 months the housing authority started new programs or activities	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #53		
	Indoor air quality	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with too little living space	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #14		
	Floor area per person in housing	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with adequacy of storage space	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #15		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their apartment there is a problem with too much noise	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #16		
	Home Ownership: Percent who rent, own, or have another arrangement			NHES: 91 #S20; NHES: 93 #R160; NHES: 93 #P116; NHES: 95 #P1; NHES: 99 #PW1
	Average house price to income ratio	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Homeownership rate	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of adults who report that their block is a good place to raise kids	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4g		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who rate the overall quality of their neighborhood as {excellent, good, fair, or poor}	Hope VI Windshield Survey #14		
	Percent of adults who are satisfied with the block they live on	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B1		
	Percent of adults who are satisfied with their neighborhood (defined as the area around their block)	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B2		
	Percent of adults who report their block as safe	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4a		
	Percent of adults who report feeling safe being alone at night in the area right outside their building (parking lots, lawns, streets or sidewalks right outside their building)	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #17		
	Percent of adults who report feeling safe alone at night in their apartments	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #18		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with people being attacked or robbed in the stairwells, hallways, elevators or lobby of their building	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #19		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with people selling drugs	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #20		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with people using drugs	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #21		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with shootings and violence	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #25		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with people breaking in or sneaking into homes to steal things	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #26		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with young people controlling the building	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #22		
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with groups of people just hanging out	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #23		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that in their building there is a problem with graffiti (painting or writing on the walls)	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #24		
	Number of Neighborhood Watch Groups	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of adults who report their block as attractive	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4b		
	Percent of adults who report their block houses in good condition	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4e		
	Percent of adults who report the streets/walks on their block as in good condition	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4f		
	Percent of adults who report their block as neat	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4c		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report their block as quiet	Neighboring in an Urban Environment #B4d		
	Number of noise complaints to local authorities	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of new residential lots within ¼ mile of services	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of neighborhood land being used for: residential commercial industrial institutional	Hope VI Windshield Survey #1		
	Percent of residential structures: Pre- 1945 1946-1960 1961-present	Hope VI Windshield Survey #2		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition A: Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent,		Local	State	National
Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets				
	Percent of residential structures: single-family detached garden/row/townhouse multifamily (2-4 units) multifamily (5-10 units) multifamily (10+ units)	Hope VI Windshield Survey #3		
	Percent of housing whose construction is: wood frame masonry mixed	Hope VI Windshield Survey #4		
	Percent of housing in neighborhood that is: luxury high quality average quality below quality poor	Hope VI Windshield Survey #13		
	Density of alcohol outlets in certain areas	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space		Local	State	National
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space	Percent of parents reporting it is very safe for children to play outside during the day in their neighborhood			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.400
	Percent of people feeling safe walking alone at night	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of people who report decreased park use due to fear	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of families living in safe environments	NOWG, Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local or state statistics, data from home visits)		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space		Local	State	National
	Percent of parents reporting that there is a big problem in the area or block around their house or apartment with garbage, litter or broken glass in the street or road, on the sidewalks, or in yards			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.410
	Percent of adults who rate the condition of streets, curbs, and gutters in their neighborhood as {excellent, good, fair, or poor}	Hope VI Windshield Survey #6		
	Percent of adults who rate the maintenance of street lighting, trash containers, and signs in their neighborhood as {excellent, good, fair, or poor}	Hope VI Windshield Survey #7		
	Percent of adults who rate the presence of litter, abandoned cars, and other problems in their neighborhood as {excellent, good, fair, or poor}	Hope VI Windshield Survey #8		
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with trash and junk in the parking lots and lawns	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #36		
	Percent of adults who rate owner housekeeping (side yards, backyards, porches, or garages) in their neighborhood as {excellent, good, fair, or poor}	Hope VI Windshield Survey #9		
	Percent of parents reporting that there is a big problem in the area or block around their house or apartment with selling or using drugs or excessive drinking in public			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.420



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with people selling drugs	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #31		
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with people using drugs	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #32		
	Percent of parents reporting that there is a big problem in the area or block around their house or apartment with burglary or robbery			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.430
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with people being attacked or robbed	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #30		
	Percent of parents reporting that there is a big problem in the area or block around their house or apartment with violent crimes like drive-by shootings			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.440
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with shootings and violence	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #35		
	Percent of parents reporting that there is a big problem in the area or block around their house or apartment with vacant houses and buildings			ECLS-K (Spring 2000) HEQ.450
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with groups of people just hanging out	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #33		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B: Safe, Healthy Public Space		Local	State	National
	Percent of adults who report that outside their building there is a problem with graffiti (painting or writing on the walls)	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #34		
	Number of bad air days	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card; Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Number of complaints about air quality per year	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Air quality index	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Days per year that air quality standards are met	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition B:		Local	State	National
Safe, Healthy Public Space				
	Number of acres of public open space	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Parks and playgrounds as percent of urban area	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Number of trees on public property	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Number of sworn police officers per 1000 people	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)			
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence		Local	State	National	
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Reported cases of abuse and neglect		South Carolina		
	Rate of child abuse and neglect for children ages 0-4		Vermont (Child Protection Agency)		
	Reported cases of physical abuse		South Carolina		
	Reported cases of neglect		South Carolina		
	Child abuse and neglect rate for children under age 5		Rhode Island		
	Percent of children who have been the victim of a crime or domestic violence			FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998, 1999) # N18	
	Indicated reports of child abuse and maltreatment		New York (Office of Children and Family Services)		
	Abuse and neglect rate of children	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database			



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence		Local	State	National
	Number of children receiving protective services for abuse/neglect	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Percent of children who have ever witnessed a crime or domestic violence			FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) # P2a; FACES-K Parent (Spring 1998) # N17
	Percent of adults who report having bullets come into their apartment in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #46		
	Percent of adults who report that they or someone they know had their purse or jewelry snatched from them in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #41		
	Percent of adults who report that they or someone they know was stabbed or shot in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #43		
	Percent of adults who report that someone tried to break into their home to steal something in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #44		



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)			
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence		Local	State	National	
	Percent of adults who report that they or someone they know was beaten or assaulted in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #42			
	Percent of adults who report that they or someone they know was caught in a shootout in the past 12 months	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey #45			
	Violent and property crimes per 1,000 persons	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card			
	Crime victims as a percent of population	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database			
	Crime rate	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database			
	Property crime rate	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database			



CONTRIBUTING CONDITION III: SAFE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS	MEASURES OF PROGRESS At time of entry to school	INDICATORS Now available and in use (See legend for acronym list)		
Subcondition C: Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence		Local	State	National
	Violent crime rate	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Domestic assault reported per 100,000 people	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Homicide rate per 100,000 people	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		
	Rapes reported per 10,000 people	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database		



School Readiness Indicator Items

January 25, 2002

Julia Calkins, Thomson Ling, Eric Moore, Tamara Halle, Beth Hair, Kris Moore, and Marty Zaslow

Materials prepared for the Pathways Mapping Project, operating under the auspices of the Project on Effective Interventions at Harvard University Lisbeth B. Schorr, Director pathways@hms.harvard.edu

Table of Contents

Survey Legend	
Advantages and Disadvantages of National and State Surveys and IndicatorsLegend	6
National	7
ECLS-K	7
FACES-K	39
NHES	48
State	82
Delaware	83
Minnesota	83
Missouri	84
New York	100
North Carolina	101
Rhode Island	107
South Carolina	112
Vermont	116
Advantages and Disadvantages of Local Surveys and Indicators	123
Local	123
Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	124
Hope VI Windshield Survey	
ISSB	
Kids Count	140
Neighboring in an Urban Environment	140
NOWG	143
SS-B	151
Sustainable Measures	
Zero Population Growth	179

	Survey Legend	
Acronym	Full Name	Contact Info
ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Fall 1999, Parent Survey	Jerry West Director, Early Childhood Studies Program NCES 1990 K Street, NW, Room 9046 Washington, DC 20006-5650 202-502-7335 202-502-7455 (fax) http://nces.ed.gov/ecls
ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher, Part C	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Fall 1999, Teacher Survey Part C	See above
ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Spring 2000, Parent Survey	See above
ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher, Part C	Early Childhood Longitudinal Study Kindergarten Class of 1998-99, Spring 2000, Teacher Survey Part C	See above
FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	Family and Child Experiences Survey Kindergarten Year, Spring 1998, Parent Survey	Louisa Tarullo Federal Project Officer Commissioner's Office of Research and Evaluation Administration on Children, Youth, and Families Switzer Building, Room 2130 330 C Street, SW Washington, DC 20201 202-205-8324 lbtarullo@acf.dhhs.gov http://www2.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/hsb
FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	Family and Child Experiences Survey Kindergarten Year, Spring 1999, Parent Survey	See above
FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	Family and Child Experiences Survey Kindergarten Year, Spring 2000, Parent Survey	See above
FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Family and Child Experiences Survey Kindergarten Year, Spring 2001, Child Assessment	See above

Acronym NHES: 91	Full Name 1991 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	Contact Info http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 93	1993 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 95	1995 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
NHES: 99	1999 National Household Education Survey Parent Interview	http://nces.ed.gov/nhes/
Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	Sharon Ford Schattgen Coordinator of Curriculum and Assessment Division of Instruction Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education P.O. Box 480 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-2625
Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	See above
North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	Kelly Maxwell Investigator Frank Porter Graham Child Development Center The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Campus Box 8180 105 Smith Level Road Chapel Hill, NC 27599-8180 (919) 966-9865 maxwell@unc.edu
Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	David Murphey Agency of Human Services Planning Division 103 S. Main St. Waterbury, VT 05671-0201 802-241-2238 David.Murphey@state.vt.us

Acronym Vermont "Ready Schools" Principal Questionnaire	Full Name Vermont "Ready Schools" Principal Questionnaire	Contact Info See above
Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	Hope VI Baseline Resident Satisfaction Survey	Linda B. Fossburg Abt Associates 4800 Montgomery Lane, Suite 600 Bethesda, MD 20814 301-319-0500 www.abtassoc.com
Hope VI Windshield Survey	Hope VI Windshield Neighborhood Survey	Linda B. Fossburg Abt Associates 4800 Montgomery Lane, Suite 600 Bethesda, MD 20814 301-319-0500 www.abtassoc.com
ISSB	Inventory of Socially Supportive Behaviors	Manuel Barrera Box 871104 Department of Psychology Arizona State University Tempe, AZ 85287-1104 480-965-3826 Manuel.Barrera@asu.edu
Kids Count	Kids Count, City Trends (1990-1998)	Child Trends 4301 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 100 Washington, DC 20008 202-362-5580 www.childtrends.org
Neighboring in an Urban Environment	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	Abraham Wandersman Department of Psychology University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208 803-777-7671 wandersman@sc.edu

Acronym	Full Name	Contact Info
NOWG	The National Outcome Work Groups	Eric Killian Area Extension Specialist Children, Youth & Families 2345 Red Rock, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89146-3160 702-257-5542 killian@unce.unr.edu http://ag.arizona.edu/fcr/fs/nowg/index.html
SS-B	Social Support Behaviors Scale	Alan Vaux Department of Psychology Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL 69201
Sustainable Measures	Sustainable Measures Indicators Database	Sustainable Measures PO Box 361 North Andover, MA 01845 978-9751988 mhart@tiac.net http://www.sustainablemeasures.com
Zero Population Growth	Zero Population Growth, Kid Friendly Cities Report Card (Part of the Children's Environmental Index)	Zero Population Growth, Inc. 1400 16 th St, NW Suite 320 Washington, D.C. 20036 202-332-2302 tnfo@zpg.org http://www.zpg.org



Advantages and Disadvantages of National and State Surveys and Indicators--Legend

Advantages

- A1: Cost effective
- A2: National benchmarking available for nationally representative data set
- A3: Time efficient
- A4: Part of a scale assessment for which psychometric information is available
- A5: Benchmarking available for special sample

Disadvantages

- D1: Expensive
- D2: No national benchmarks
- D3: Time-consuming to gather
- D4: Used only at a particular point in time
- D5: Number is only relevant if related to total eligible
- D6: More direct assessment is optimal
- D7: Information from administrative records optimal
- D8: Memory problems to retrospective questions
- D9: No subsequent cohorts planned; will become outdated
- D10: Multiple informants desirable
- D11: May be difficult for respondent to answer honestly; embarrassing
- D12: Informant may not have access to this information, may not know, may not have basis to judge
- D13: Requires child to be present; may not always be possible
- D14: Respondent may fear consequences of responding
- D15: Strong tendency may exist to want to respond favorably
- D16: Similar, but not identical to national benchmarking data



National

INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
	ECLS-K				
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.105	Does (CHILD) learn, think and solve problems Better than other children (his/her) age As well as other children Slightly less well than other children, or Much less well than other children? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#10	This child recognizes distinct differences in habits and living patterns between him/herself and other groups of people he/she knows – for example, knowing that there are many different types of families, or knowing the different types of homes people live in, or the different types of foods that people eat. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#12	This child uses his/her senses to explore and observe – for example, observing and noting the habits of classroom pets, or identifying environmental sounds, or describing the differences in clay before and after water is added. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#13	This child forms explanations based on observations and explorations – for example, by describing or drawing the conditions (water, soil, sun) that help a plant grow, or by explaining that a block will slide more quickly down a steeper slope. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#14	This child classifies and compares living and non-living things in different ways – for example, classifying objects according to "things that are alive and not alive," or "things that fly and things that crawl," or "plants and animals." Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#10	This child recognizes distinct differences in habits and living patterns between him/herself and other groups of people he/she knows – for example, knowing that there are many different types of families, or knowing the different types of homes people live in, or the different types of foods that people eat. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#12	This child uses his/her senses to explore and observe – for example, observing and noting the habits of classroom pets, or identifying environmental sounds, or describing the differences in clay before and after water is added. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#13	This child forms explanations based on observations and explorations – for example, by describing or drawing the conditions (water, soil, sun) that help a plant grow, or by explaining that a block will slide more quickly down a steeper slope. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#14	This child classifies and compares living and non-living things in different ways – for example, classifying objects according to "things that are alive and not alive," or "things that fly and things that crawl," or "plants and animals." Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#15	This child sorts, classifies, and compares math materials by various rules and attributes – for example creating a rule for sorting keys, such as "keys with numbers" in one pile and "keys without numbers" in another pile, or " or sorting shapes by several attributes such as "large plastic shapes" and "small wooden shapes." Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#16	This child orders a group of objects – for example, by ordering rods or sticks by length, or arranging paints from lightest to darkest, or musical instruments from softest to loudest. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#17	This child shows an understanding of the relationship between qualities – for example, knowing that a group of ten small stones is the same quantity as a group of ten larger blocks. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#18	This child solves problems involving numbers using concrete objects – for example, "Vera has six blocks, George has three, how many blocks are there in all?" or "How many do I need to give George so he will have the same number of blocks as Vera?" Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#19	This child demonstrates an understanding of graphing activities – for example, by looking at a picture graph on favorite ice-cream flavors and knowing which flavor is the most popular and which is the least. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#20	This child uses instruments accurately for measuring – for example, by using a balance scale to compare the weight of two objects, or using tablespoons and teaspoons during a cooking project, or using a measuring tape to measure the length of different objects. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#21	Uses a variety of strategies to solve math problems – for example, using manipulative materials, looking for a pattern, or acting out a problem. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #14	How often (does (CHILD)) show eagerness to learn new things? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #17	How often (does (CHILD)) act impulsively? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #23	How often (does (CHILD)) persist in completing tasks? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #8	How often (does (CHILD)) get angry easily? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Family Economically Secure	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	EMQ.190	During how many years or months since (he/she) was born has (CHILD)'s family had serious financial problems? Enter Number REFUSED DON'T KNOW Enter Unit MONTH YEAR REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9
Healthy, Wanted Birth	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.010	When (he/she) was born, did (CHILD) weigh more than 5 ½ pounds? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9
Healthy, Wanted Birth	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.015	When (he/she) was born, did (CHILD) weigh more than 3 pounds? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2	D8, D9
Healthy, Wanted Birth	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.025	Was (CHILD) born more than two weeks before (he/she) was due? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2	D8, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CCQ.010	Is (CHILD) now receiving care from a relative on a regular basis (including care provided before or after school)? This may include grandparents, brothers and sisters, or any relatives other than (you/(CHILD)'s (parents/guardians)). Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CCQ.015	Has (CHILD) ever received care from a relative on a regular basis? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CCQ.115	Is (CHILD) now receiving care in a private home on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her) (including care provided before and after school)? This includes home child care providers, regular sitters or neighbors. Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CCQ.120	Has (CHILD) ever received care in a private home from a nonrelative on a regular basis? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K	CCQ.210	Has (CHILD) ever attended Head	A2	D8, D9
	(Fall 99)		Start?		
	Parent		Yes		
			No		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K	CCQ.260	Is (CHILD) now attending a day care	A2	D9
	(Fall 99)		center or a before or after school		
	Parent		program at a school or in a center on a		
			regular basis?		
			Yes		
			No		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
High Quality Child Care	ECLS-K	CCQ.265	(Other than Head Start, has/Has)	A2	D8, D9
	(Fall 99)		(CHILD) ever attended a day care		
	Parent		center, nursery school, preschool,		
			prekindergarten or before or after		
			school program at a school or in a		
			center on a regular basis?		
			Yes		
			No		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.200	Does (Child) pronounce words, communicate with and understand others IF RESPONDENT INDICATES CHILD DIFFERS ON ANY OF THE AREAS (E.G., CAN UNDERSTAND BUT NOT PRONOUNCE), SAY: "Answer for the area in which the child has the most difficulty." Better than other children (his/her) age As well as other children Slightly less well than other children, or Much less well than other children? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#1	This Child uses complex sentence structures – for example, says "If she had brought her umbrella, she wouldn't have gotten wet," or "Yesterday it was raining cats and dogs," or "Why can't we go on the field trip at the same time as the first grade?" Not yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#2	Understands and interprets a story or other text read to him/her – for example, retelling a story just read to the group, or telling about why a story ended as it did, or connecting part of the story to his/her own life Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#3	Easily and quickly names all upper- and lower-case letters of the alphabet Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#4	This child produces rhyming words – for example, says a word that rhymes with "whip", "shop", "drink", - or "light". Not yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#5	This child predicts what will happen next in stories by using the pictures and storyline for clues. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#6	This child reads simple books independently – for example, reads books with a repetitive language pattern. Not yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#7	This child demonstrates early writing behaviors – for example, by using initial consonants to spell words ("d" for the word "dog") or using the letter names to represent sounds ("r" for the word "are") or phonetic spelling ("hrt" for the word "heart"), to convey words and ideas. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	#8	This child demonstrates an understanding of some of the conventions of print – for example, by using both upper- and lower-case letters when writing, or putting spaces between words, or using a period at the end of a sentence. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#1	This Child uses complex sentence structures – for example, says "If she had brought her umbrella, she wouldn't have gotten wet," or "Yesterday it was raining cats and dogs," or "Why can't we go on the field trip at the same time as the first grade?" Not yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#2	Understands and interprets a story or other text read to him/her – for example, retelling a story just read to the group, or telling about why a story ended as it did, or connecting part of the story to his/her own life Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#3	Easily and quickly names all upper- and lower-case letters of the alphabet Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#4	This child produces rhyming words – for example, says a word that rhymes with "chip," "shop," "drink," or "light." Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#5	This child predicts what will happen next in stories by using the pictures and storyline for clues. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#6	This child reads simple books independently – for example, reads books with a repetitive language pattern. Not yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#7	This child demonstrates early writing behaviors – for example, by using initial consonants to spell words ("d" for the word "dog") or using the letter names to represent sounds ("r" for the word "are") or phonetic spelling ("hrt" for the word "heart"), to convey words and ideas. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Language Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	#8	This child demonstrates an understanding of some of the conventions of print – for example, by using both upper- and lower-case letters when writing, or putting spaces between words, or using a period at the end of a sentence. Not Yet Beginning In Progress Intermediate Proficient Not Applicable	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.100	Does (CHILD) pay attention Better than other children (his/her) age As well as other children Slightly less well than other children, or Much less well than other children? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and	ECLS-K	CHQ.140	Thinking about (CHILD)'s overall	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Motor Development	(Fall 99)		activity level, would you say (he/she)		
_	Parent		is		
			Less active than other children		
			of (his/her) age,		
			About as active,		
			Slightly more active, or		
			A lot more active than other		
			children of (his/her) age,		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
Physical Well-Being and	ECLS-K	CHQ.160	What was the diagnosis?	A1, A2	D7, D9
Motor Development	(Fall 99)		PROBE: What was the primary		
	Parent		diagnosis?		
			LEARNING DISABILITY		
			ATTENTION DEFICIT		
			DISORDER (ADD)		
			HYPERACTIVITY		
			DYSLEXIA		
			MENTAL RETARDATION		
			OTHER (SPECIFY)		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and	ECLS-K	CHQ.175	Does (CHILD) show good coordination	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Motor Development	(Fall 99)		in moving (his/her) arms and legs?		
-	Parent		Would you say (he/she) does this		
			IF RESPONDENT REPORTS		
			DIFFERENTIALLY FOR ARMS OR		
			LEGS OR FOR SIDES OF THE		
			BODY, SAY: "Answer for the part of		
			the body your child has the most		
			difficulty using."		
			IF CHILD HAS EPISODIC		
			TROUBLE, SAY: "Answer for what		
			you consider a typical day."		
			Better than other children		
			(his/her) age		
			As well as other children		
			Slightly less well than other		
			children, or		
			Much less well than other		
			children?		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
Physical Well-Being and	ECLS-K	CHQ.230	Does (CHILD) have difficulty hearing	A1, A2, A3	D6, D7, D9
Motor Development	(Fall 99)		and understanding speech in a normal		
	Parent		conversation?		
			YES		
			NO		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.260	Which of the following best describes (CHILD)'s hearing loss? Is (he/she) Deaf in both ears, Deaf in one ear and hard of hearing in the other, Deaf in one ear and normally hearing in the other, Hard of hearing in both ears, or Hard of hearing in one ear and normally hearing in the other? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D7, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.285	Does (CHILD) have difficulty seeing objects in the distance or letters on paper? YES NO REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D7, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.320	Please tell me which of the following (CHILD)'s best eyesight allows (him/her) to see. Print in children's story books, Form and/or color of objects, but not detail, Shadows, Lights, or Does (CHILD) see no light or have no light perception? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.330	Would you say (CHILD)'s health is Excellent Very good Good Fair, or Poor? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.410	Does (CHILD) currently use special equipment for children with special needs such as a wheelchair, communication board, or other assistive device? YES NO REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #24	How often (does (CHILD)) pay attention well? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	CHQ.130	Compared to other (boys/girls) (his/her) age, how physically active is (CHILD) during structured activities like sports or activities at day care or school? Is (he/she) More physically active than other (boys/girls) Less physically active than other (boys/girls) About the same as other (boys/girls) REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	FDQ.220	In the last 12 months, did (CHILD) ever skip a meal because there wasn't enough money for food? YES NO REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9, D11



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	FDQ.250	In the last 12 months, did (CHILD) ever not eat for a whole day because there wasn't enough money for food? YES NO REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9, D11
Physical Well-being and Motor Development	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Teacher Part C	Student Information #4	During structured play time, how does this child compare with other children in the class in terms of physical activity? A lot less active than most A little less active than most About the same as most A little more active than most A lot more active than most	A1, A2, A3	D6, D9
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.095	(CHILD) is independent and takes care of (himself/herself) Better than other children (his/her) age As well as other children Slightly less well than other children, or Much less well than other children? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2, A3	D6, D9
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.400	How safe is it for children to play outside during the day in your neighborhood? Would you say it's Not at all safe Somewhat safe, or Very safe? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.410	How much of a problem are (garbage, litter, or broken glass in the street or road, on the sidewalks, or in yards) in the block or area around your house or apartment? Would you say they are a Big problem Somewhat of a problem, or No problem? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9, D11
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.420	How much of a problem are (selling or using drugs or excessive drinking in public) in the block or area around your house or apartment? Would you say they are a Big problem Somewhat of a problem, or No problem? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9, D11
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.430	How much of a problem are (burglary or robbery) in the block or area around your house or apartment? Would you say they are a Big problem Somewhat of a problem, or No problem? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.440	How much of a problem are (violent crimes like drive-by shootings) in the block or area around your house or apartment? Would you say they are a Big problem Somewhat of a problem, or No problem? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Safe, Healthy Public Space	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.450	How much of a problem are (vacant houses and buildings) in the block or area around your house or apartment? Would you say they are a Big problem Somewhat of a problem, or No problem? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	CHQ.325	Would you say (CHILD) behaves and relates to other children and adults Better than other children (his/her) own age, As well as other children, Slightly less well than other children, or Much less well than other children? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #10	How often (does (CHILD)) disturb ongoing activities? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #12	How often (does (CHILD)) get along with people who are different? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99)	Social Rating Scale #13	How often (does (CHILD)) show low self-esteem?	A2, A4	D9, D10
Beveropment	Teacher Part C	Scare m15	Never		
	Toucher Ture C		Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (is (CHILD)) sensitive to	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #16	the feelings of others?		
	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
~			OBSERVE		70.710
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (does (CHILD)) respond	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #18	appropriately to peer pressure?		
	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (does (CHILD)) argue with	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #19	others?	,	
- · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #2	How often (does (CHILD)) express own feelings, opinions, and ideas without putting down those of others? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #20	OBSERVE How often (does (CHILD)) comfort or help other children? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #21	How often (does (CHILD)) easily adapt to changes in routine? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #22	How often (does (CHILD)) act sad or depressed? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99)	Social Rating Scale #3	How often (does (CHILD)) fights with others?	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	Teacher Part C	Scare 113	Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (does (CHILD)) worry	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #4	about things?		
	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (does (CHILD)) forms and	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #5	makes friendships?		
	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		
Social and Emotional	ECLS-K	Social Rating	How often (does (CHILD)) appear	A2, A4	D9, D10
Development	(Fall 99)	Scale #6	lonely?		
	Teacher Part C		Never		
			Sometimes		
			Often		
			Very Often		
			NO OPPORTUNITY TO		
			OBSERVE		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #9	How often (does (CHILD)) respect the property rights of others? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #7	How often (does (CHILD)) accept peers' ideas for group activities? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development; Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #1	How often (does (CHILD)) control temper? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Social and Emotional Development; Disposition to Learn	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Teacher Part C	Social Rating Scale #15	How often (does (CHILD)) work independently? Never Sometimes Often Very Often NO OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE	A2, A4	D9, D10
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Fall 99) Parent	MHQ.020	Are you legally married to (CHILD)'s biological (father/mother)? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	ECLS-K	MHQ.030	Are you and (CHILD)'s biological	A2	D9, D11
Primary Caregiver,	(Fall 99)		(father/mother) currently living		
Structured, Stimulating,	Parent		together in a marriage-like		
Stable Environment at			relationship?		
Home			Yes		
			No		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
Strong Bonds With	ECLS-K	MHQ.040	Are you legally married to someone	A2	D9
Primary Caregiver,	(Fall 99)		who is not (CHILD)'s biological		
Structured, Stimulating,	Parent		(father/mother) at the present time?		
Stable Environment at			Yes		
Home			No		
			REFUSED		
			DON'T KNOW		
Strong Bonds With	ECLS-K	MHQ.045	Are you currently living in a marriage-	A2	D9, D11
Primary Caregiver,	(Fall 99)		like relationship with someone who is		
Structured, Stimulating,	Parent		not (CHILD)'s biological		
Stable Environment at			(father/mother)?		
Home			Yes		
			No		
			REFUSED		
1			DON'T KNOW		



INDICATOR AREA SOURCE ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home SOURCE ITEM # DWQ.010 DWQ.070		A2	DISADVANTAGE D3, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	CFQ.100	Now, I'd like to ask some questions about your relationship with {NAME OF CURRENT PARTNER}. Would you say that your relationship is Very happy Fairly happy Not too happy Don't know Refused	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	HEQ.500	In a typical week, please tell me the number of days at least some of the family eats breakfast together. Range: 0 to 7 Don't know Refused	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	HEQ.510	In a typical week, please tell me the number of days (CHILD) has breakfast at a regular time. Range: 0 to 7 Don't know Refused	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	HEQ.520	In a typical week, please tell me the number of days your family eats the evening meal together. Range: 0 to 7 Don't know Refused	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	HEQ.530	In a typical week, please tell me the number of days the evening meal is served at a regular time. Range: 0 to 7 Don't know Refused	A2	D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	HEQ.550	On weeknights during the school year, does (CHILD) usually go to bed at about the same time each night, or does (his/her) bedtime vary a lot from night to night? Has usual bedtime Bedtime varies Don't know Refused	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 99) Parent	PPQ.100 – PPQ.210	How often during the past week have you felt That you were bothered by things that don't usually bother you? That you did not feel like eating, that your appetite was poor? That you could not shake off the blues even with help from your family or friends? That you had trouble keeping your mind on what you were doing? Depressed? That everything you did was an effort? Fearful? That your sleep was restless? That you talked less than usual? Lonely? Sad? That you could not get going? Never Some of the time A moderate amount of the time Most of the time Don't know Refused	A2	D3, D9



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.200	In the past week, how often did (CHILD) look at picture books outside of school? Would you say Never Once or twice a week 3 to 6 times a week, or Every day? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.210	In the past week, how often did (CHILD) read to (himself/herself) or to others outside of school? Would you say Never Once or twice a week 3 to 6 times a week, or Every day? REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	HEQ.220	Do you have a home computer that (CHILD) uses? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9
Strong Bonds With Primary caregiver, Structured, stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	PIQ.110	During this school year, have you or another adult in your household taken it upon yourself to contact (CHILD)'s teacher or school for any reason having to do with (CHILD)? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D9, D10, D11



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	ECLS-K (Spring 00) Parent	PIQ.130	Since the beginning of the school year, have you or the other adults in your household attended an open house or a back-to-school night? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A2	D8, D9
Cognitive Development	FACES-K FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#G2	Can (CHILD) identify the colors red, yellow, blue and green by name? Would you say All of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A3, A5	D6
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#G3	Can (he/she) recognize All the letters of the alphabet Most of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A3, A5	D6
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#A1	Can you tell me your full name? If child gives first name only, probe: What is your last name?	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#A2	How old are you? If child holds up fingers, probe: How old is that?	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#A3	When is your birthday? If child gives month but not day, probe: Can you tell me what day your birthday is? If child gives day but not month, probe: What month is your birthday?	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#AS1-AS8	Identifying pictures (e.g., goats) on a page containing various pictures (e.g., farm animals) in a series of progressively more complex tasks. (ages 4-5)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#D01	"color bears" task – identifying basic colors	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#D02	"color bears" task – counting 10 bears, identifying the total number of bears in a group of 10.	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#F1-6	Counting and identifying numbers of items such as fingers or animals in pictures.	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#F7-23	Counting and simple addition and subtraction of items from simple (e.g., "How many circles are there in this picture?") to complex (e.g., "If you had three cars and someone gave you two more, how many would you have all together?") using pictures of the items.	A5	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#G1	Can (CHILD) identify the colors red, yellow, blue and green by name? Would you say All of them Some of them, or None of them?	A5	D6, D10
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#G2	Can (he/she) recognize All the letters of the alphabet Most of them Some of them, or None of them?	A5	D6, D10
Cognitive Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#G1	Can (CHILD) identify the colors red, yellow, blue and green by name? Would you say All of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A3, A5	D6



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1a	Child's response during assessment: Task persistence: Persists with task Attempts task briefly Attempts task after much encouragement Refuses	A5	D1, D3, D13
Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1d	Child's response during assessment: Attention to directions Listens carefully to entire direction Attends only to brief direction Plunges ahead after hearing only portion Plunges ahead immediately	A5	D1, D3, D13
Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1e	Child's response during assessment: Comprehension of directions Rapid comprehension of most directions, given age expectations Understands after several repetitions Partial comprehension of directions Does not appear to comprehend most directions	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#G5	Does (CHILD) mostly write and draw rather than scribble? Yes No Write (his/her) first name, even if some of the letters are backwards? Yes No Trip, stumble or fall easily? Yes No Stutter or stammer? Yes No When (CHILD) speaks, is (he/she) understandable to a stranger? Yes No	A1, A3, A5	D6, D10, D12
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#G6	Is (Child) able to read story books on (his/her) own now? Yes No	A1, A3, A5	D6
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#13	How many times has someone in your family read to (CHILD) in the past week? Would you say Not at all Once or twice Three or more times Every Day	A5	D12
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#CA1-9	Copying an object from simple (e.g., line) to complex (e.g., interlocking circles, parallelograms)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#E14-23	Identifying words (e.g., "to," "dog," "must," or "fixed") from a sheet, either 1, 3, or 6 words at a time	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#E6-13	Identifying letters from a sheet, either 2 letters or 5 letters at a time	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#G10	(Show child the capital letters "S" and "F") Look at the two letters. The first letter is a capital or big S. The next letter is a capital or big F. Print a capital Y. ("Y" must be capital.)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#G11	(Show child the lowercase letters "e" and "h") Look at the two letters. The first letter is a lowercase or small e. The next letter is a lowercase or small h. Print a lowercase i. ("i" must be lowercase.)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#G7	Print the letter O (capital or lowercase is correct)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#G8	Print the letter X (capital or lowercase is correct)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#G9	(Show child the capital letters "H" and "R") Look at the two letters. The first letter is a capital or big H. The next letter is a capital or big R. Print a capital D. ("D" must be capital, backwards is incorrect.)	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	#H1-7	"Where's My Teddy" – Test of story and print concepts (e.g., identifying the front of a book, knowing that you read from left to right, using pictures to understand the story).	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Section B	Test of receptive vocabulary (PPVT III, form A)	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#F8	Does (CHILD) mostly write and draw rather than scribble? Yes No	A5	D6, D10
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#F9	Can (CHILD) write (his/her) name even if some of the letters are backward? No Yes DON'T KNOW	A1, A3, A5	D6
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#D1	How many times has someone in your family read to (CHILD) in the past week? Would you say Not at all Once or twice Three or more times Every Day	A5	D12
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#D1	How many times has someone in your family read to (CHILD) in the past week? Would you say Not at all Once or twice Three or more times Every Day	A5	
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#F1	Can (CHILD) recognize All of the letters of the alphabet Most of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A3, A5	D6
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#F8	Does (CHILD) mostly write and draw rather than scribble? Yes No	A1, A3, A5	D6



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#F9	Can (CHILD) write (his/her) name even if some of the letters are backward? No Yes DON'T KNOW	A1, A3, A5	D6
Language Development; Development on Track	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1f	Child's response during assessment: Verbalization Many spontaneous comments Occasional comments Responds only when spoken to Extremely reluctant to speak or inappropriate speech	A5	D1, D3, D13
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1b	Child's response during assessment: Attention span: Focuses attention voluntarily Attends with assessor direction Some distraction with noise or movement of others Easily distracted	A5	D1, D3, D13
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1c	Child's response during assessment: Body movement: Sits quietly Some squirming Much movement Out of seat; body constantly in motion	A5	D1, D3, D13
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#K8	Is (CHILD) covered by health insurance other than Medicaid through your job(s) or the job of another employed adult? No Yes	A5	D11



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#N5	Where does (CHILD) usually go for medical care when (he/she) is sick or injured? A private doctor, private clinic, or HMO An outpatient clinic run by a hospital The emergency room at a hospital Public health department or community health center A migrant health clinic The Indian Health Service Or someplace else (please specify) Don't Know	A5	
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#N6	Where does (CHILD) go for dental care? (CHILD) has not been to the dentist yet A private dentist, private clinic, or HMO An outpatient clinic run by a hospital The Public Health Department or a community dental clinic A migrant dental clinic The Indian Health Service Dental Clinic Other (Please specify) Don't Know	A5	
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#K3	(Does/Do) (CHILD)'s (disability/disabilities) affect (his/her) ability to learn? Yes No	A5	D6, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#E4	(Does/Do) (CHILD)'s (disability/disabilities) affect (his/her) ability to learn? Yes No	A5	D10, D11, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Disposition to Learn	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#E4	(Does/Do) (CHILD)'s (disability/disabilities) affect (his/her) ability to learn? Yes No	A5	D6, D12
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#L20	Is (CHILD)'s father in this household? Father in household Father not in household Father deceased	A5	
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 00) Parent	#L4	Is (CHILD)'s mother in this household? Mother in household Mother not in household Mother deceased	A5	
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1g	Child's response during assessment: Ease of relationship (rapport) Immediately friendly Friendly but reserved Shy Very reluctant and/or fearful	A5	D1, D3, D13
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 01) Child	Interviewer Rating #1h	Child's response during assessment: Confidence Very sure of self Confident with things known; attempts new things with encouragement Reluctant to try new or difficult things Very uncertain; needs much encouragement	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#P2a	Has (CHILD) been a witness to a violent crime since (Month of Last Interview)? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A5	D8, D11
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 98) Parent	#P3b	Has (CHILD) been the victim of a violent crime since (Month of last interview? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A5	D8, D11
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#P2a	Has (CHILD) been a witness to a violent crime since last spring? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A5	D8, D11
Social and Emotional Development	FACES-K (Spring 99) Parent	#P3b	Has (CHILD) been the victim of a Violent crime since last spring? Yes No REFUSED DON'T KNOW	A5	D8, D11
Cognitive Development	NHES: 93	#R14	Can (CHILD) identify the colors red, yellow, blue and green by name? Would you say All of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	NHES: 93	#R16	How high can (CHILD) count? Would you say Not at all Up to five Up to ten Up to twenty Up to fifty, or Up to 100 or more?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12
Cognitive Development	NHES: 99	#PE01	Can (CHILD) identify the colors red, yellow, blue, and green by name? Would you say All of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Cognitive Development	NHES: 99	#PE03	How high can (CHILD) count? Would you say Not at all Up to five Up to ten Up to twenty Up to fifty, or Up to 100 or more?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R105a	(Is/Does) (CHILD) (have) (DISABILITY) now? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D11, D12
Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R109	Is there a particular place that you (or other PARENT/GUARDIAN) usually take (CHILD) for routine care such as getting checkups or shots? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R110	About how long has it been since (CHILD) last saw a medical doctor or other health professional for a checkup, shots, or other routine care? Would you say Less than 1 year 1 year, but less than 2 years 2 years or more	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R111	Has (CHILD) ever been to a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R112	About how long has it been since (CHILD) last saw a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care? Would you say Less than 1 year 1 year, but less than 2 years 2 years or more	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Development on Track	NHES: 99	#PT01	Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that (CHILD) was developmentally delayed? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11
Development on Track	NHES: 99	#PT02	About how long has it been since (CHILD) last saw a medical doctor or other health professional for a checkup, shots, or other routine care? Would you say Less than 1 year 1 year, but less than 2 years, or 2 years or more?	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12
Development on Track	NHES: 99	#PT03	Has (CHILD) ever been to a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Development on Track	NHES: 99	#PT04	About how long has it been since (CHILD) last saw a dentist or dental hygienist for dental care? Would you say Less than 1 year 1 year, but less than 2 years, or 2 years or more?	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition To Learn	NHES: 93	#R105	Has (CHILD) ever had any of the following disabling conditions that adversely affected (his/her) ability to learn? Has (he/she) ever had a. A learning disability? Yes No b. Mental retardation? Yes No c. Speech Impairment? Yes No d. Serious emotional disturbance? Yes No e. Deafness? Yes No f. Other hearing impairment? Yes No g. Blindness? Yes No h. Other visual impairment? Yes No i. Orthopedic impairment? Yes No j. Other health impairment lasting 6 months or more?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 91	#S22	Can you tell me which group best describes an estimate of the total income of all persons in your household over the past year. Please include income from all sources, such as salaries or other earnings, interest, retirement, and so on for all household members. Is your household income \$25,000 or less, or more than \$25,000	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 93	#S22	Please tell me which group best describes an estimate of the total income of all persons in your household over the past year, including salaries or other earnings, interest, retirement and so on for all household members. Is your household income \$25,000 or less, or more than \$25,000 Was it \$5,000 or less \$5,001 to \$10,000 \$10,001 to \$15,000 \$15,001 to \$20,000 \$20,001 to \$25,000 \$25,001 to \$30,000 \$30,001 to \$35,000 \$35,001 to \$40,000 \$40,001 to \$50,000 \$50,001 to \$75,000 Over \$75,000	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 93	#R123	(Between (CHILD)'s birth and (his/her) fifth birthday/ Since (CHILD) was born) did (you/(CHILD)'s mother) work outside the home for pay? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 93	#R125	(Between (CHILD)'s birth and (his/her) fifth birthday/ Since (CHILD) was born) was there any time in which (CHILD)'s family had serious financial problems or was unable to pay the monthly bills? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 93	#R127	(Between (CHILD)'s birth and (his/her) fifth birthday/ Since (CHILD) was born) was there any time in which (CHILD)'s family received food stamps? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 93	#R129	(Between (CHILD)'s birth and (his/her) fifth birthday/ Since (CHILD) was born) was there any time in which (CHILD)'s family was on welfare or received AFDC, or Aid to Families with Dependent Children? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically	NHES: 93	#R168	Please tell me which group best	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Secure			describes an estimate of the total		
			income of all persons in your		
			household over the past year, including		
			salaries or other earnings, interest,		
			retirement and so on for all household		
			members. Is your household income		
			\$25,000 or less, or		
			more than \$25,000		
			Was it		
			\$5,000 or less		
			\$5,001 to \$10,000		
			\$10,001 to \$15,000		
			\$15,001 to \$20,000		
			\$20,001 to \$25,000		
			\$25,001 to \$30,000		
			\$30,001 to \$35,000		
			\$35,001 to \$40,000		
			\$40,001 to \$50,000		
1			\$50,001 to \$75,000		
			Over \$75,000		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically	NHES: 95	#P08	In studies like this, households are	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Secure			sometimes grouped according to		
			income. What was the total income of		
			all persons in your household over the		
			past year, including salaries or other		
			earnings, interest, retirement, and so on		
			for all household members?		
			Was it		
			\$25,000 or less, or		
			More than \$25,000?		
			Was it		
			\$5,000 or less		
			\$5,001 to \$10,000		
			\$10,001 to \$15,000		
			\$15,001 to \$20,000, or		
			\$20,001 to \$25,000?		
			\$25,001 to \$30,000		
			\$30,001 to \$35,000		
			\$35,001 to \$40,000		
			\$40,001 to \$50,000		
			\$50,001 to \$75,000, or		
			Over \$75,000?		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically Secure	NHES: 99	#PW06	In studies like this, households are sometimes grouped according to income. What was the total income of all persons in your household over the past year, including salaries or other earnings, interest, retirement, and so on for all household members? Was it \$25,000 or less, or More than \$25,000? Was it \$5,000 or less \$5,001 to \$10,000 \$10,001 to \$15,000 \$15,001 to \$20,000, or \$20,001 to \$25,000? \$25,001 to \$30,000 \$30,001 to \$35,000 \$35,001 to \$40,000 \$40,001 to \$50,000 \$50,001 to \$75,000, or Over \$75,000?	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 91	#C8	When (CHILD) was born, did (he/she) weigh more than 5 ½ pounds? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 93	#R101	When (he/she) was born, did (CHILD) weigh more than 5 ½ pounds? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D12
Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 93	#R102	Did (CHILD) weigh more than 3 pounds? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 93	#R103	When (CHILD) was born, did (he/she) receive any care in an intensive care unit, premature nursery, or any other type of special care facility? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 95	#M01	When (CHILD) was born, did (he/she) weigh more than 5 and a half pounds? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#E29A	Did (CHILD) ever receive care on a regular basis from a relative other than you (and (OTHER PARENT/ GUARDIAN))? This includes brothers and sisters, grandparents, or any other relatives. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#E30A	Did (CHILD) ever receive care on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her)? This does not include organized settings like daycare centers. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#E31	Did (CHILD) ever go to a daycare center? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#E32	Other than the programs we have already talked about, did (CHILD) ever go to a nursery school, prekindergarten or Head Start program? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P1A	Is (CHILD) now receiving care on a regular basis from a relative other than you (and (OTHER PARENT/ GUARDIAN))? This includes brothers and sisters, grandparents, or any other relatives. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P2A	Is (CHILD) now receiving care on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her)? This includes neighbors, friends, or home child care providers, but does not include organized settings like daycare centers. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P3A	Is (CHILD) now going to a daycare center? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P3D	How many hours each week does (CHILD) go to (the/each) daycare center? Hours	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P3E	On the days when (CHILD) goes to this daycare center, does (he/she) go for a full-day or part-day program? Full-day Part-day	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P3F	Does the daycare center (CHILD) goes to have an educational program? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P3G	Is (CHILD)'s program at this daycare center a Head Start program?	A1, A2, A3	D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 91	#P4A	Not counting any programs we already discussed, is (CHILD) now going to a nursery school, prekindergarten, or Head Start program? This does not include regular kindergarten. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 93	#R32	Is (CHILD) now attending or enrolled in Head Start? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 93	#R33	(Prior to starting (kindergarten/first grade), did/Has) (CHILD) ever (attend/attended) Head Start? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 93	#R36	(Other than Head Start) Is (CHILD) now attending a nursery school, prekindergarten, preschool, or a daycare center? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 93	#R40	Have any of the (Head Start programs) (or) (nursery schools, prekindergartens, preschools, or daycare centers) (CHILD) has gone to had an educational program? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#D01	In (CHILD) now receiving care from a relative on a regular basis (including care provided before or after school)? This may include grandparents, brothers and sisters, or any relatives other than (you or) (CHILD'S) parents (or guardians). Yes No	A1, A2, A3	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#D02	Has (CHILD) ever received care from a relative on a regular basis? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#E01	Now I'd like to ask you about any care (CHILD) receives from nonrelatives in a private home. This includes care by home childcare providers, regular sitters, or neighbors, but does not include Head Start, day care centers, nursery schools or preschools. Is (CHILD) now receiving care in a private home on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her)(including care provided before or after school? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#E02	Has (CHILD) ever received care in a private home from a nonrelative in a regular basis? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#E08	Does (CHILD) receive that care on school days, weekends, or both? School Days Weekends Both	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#E09	On school days, does (CHILD) receive that care before school, after school or both? Before School After School Both	A1, A2, A3	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#E24	Has (CHILD'S) care provider received education or training specifically related to young children, such as in early childhood education or child psychology? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#F01	Is (CHILD) now attending Head Start? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#G05	Is (CHILD) now attending a day care center or a before or after school program at a school or in a center? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#G06	Has (CHILD) ever attended a day care center, nursery school, preschool, prekindergarten, or before or after school program at a school or in a center? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#G16	On the days that (CHILD) goes to that program, does (he/she) go for a full-day or part-day program? Full-Day Part-Day	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#G17	Does (CHILD) go to that program on school days, weekends of both? School Days Weekends Both	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 95	#G18	On school days, does (CHILD) go to that program before school, after school or both? Before School After School Both	A1, A2, A3	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 99	#PF01	Is (CHILD) now receiving care from a relative on a regular basis? This may include grandparents, brothers, and sisters, or any relatives other than (you or) (CHILD'S) parents (or guardians). Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 99	#PG01	Now I'd like to ask you about any care (CHILD) receives from nonrelatives in a private home. This includes care by home care providers, regular sitters, or neighbors, but does not include Head Start, day care centers, nursery schools, or preschools. Is (CHILD) now receiving care in a private home on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her)? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 99	#PN01	First, I'd like to ask you about care (CHILD) now receives before or after school on a regular basis from someone other than (you or) (his/her) parents (or guardians), and before or after school programs (CHILD) attends on a regular basis, whether or not there is a charge or fee. This does not include occasional babysitting or backup care providers. Is (CHILD) now receiving care from a relative on a regular basis before or after school? This may include grandparents, brothers and sisters, or any relatives other than (you or) (his/her) parents (or guardians). Yes No	A1, A2, A3	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	NHES: 99	#PO01	Is (CHILD) now receiving care before or after school in a private home on a regular basis from someone who is not related to (him/her)? This includes care by home care providers, regular sitters, or neighbors, but does not include day care centers or before or after school programs at schools or centers. Yes No	A1, A2, A3	
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R15	Can (CHILD) recognize All of the letters of the alphabet Most of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R17	Can (CHILD) write (his/her) first name, even if some of the letters are backwards? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R19	Does (CHILD) hold a pencil properly? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R20	Does (CHILD) mostly write and draw rather than scribble? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R27	When (he/she) speaks, is (CHILD) understandable to a stranger? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Language Development	NHES: 93	#R89	(Although (CHILD) doesn't yet read story books on (his/her) own,) Does (he/she) ever look at a book with pictures and pretend to read? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D10, D11



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	NHES: 99	#PE02	Can (CHILD) recognize All of the letters of the alphabet Most of them Some of them, or None of them?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Language Development	NHES: 99	#PE04	Can (CHILD) write (his/her) first name, even if some of the letters are backwards? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Language Development	NHES: 99	#PE07	(Although (CHILD) doesn't yet read story books on (his/her) own,) Does (he/she) ever look at the book and pretend to read? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 91	#C11	What is (CHILD)'s handicapping condition? Is it A learning disability Mental retardation Speech impairment Severe emotional disturbance Hardness of hearing Deafness Orthopedic impairment Visual impairment/ blindness Deafness and blindness Other health problems Multiple handicaps	A1, A2, A3	D10, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R18	Can (CHILD) button (his/her) clothes? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R21	Does (CHILD) trip, stumble or fall easily? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R25	Is (CHILD) very restless, and does (he/she) fidget a lot? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R26	Does (CHILD) have a very short attention span? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R30	Does (CHILD) turn on the television at a very high volume? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 93	#R31	Does (CHILD) bend over to look very closely at pictures or drawings? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 95	#M04	Does (CHILD) have any of the following disabilities? A specific learning disability? Mental retardation? A speech impairment? A serious emotional disturbance? Deafness? Another hearing impairment? Blindness? Another visual impairment? An orthopedic impairment? Another health impairment lasting 6 months or more?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NHES: 99	#PT05	Does (CHILD) have any of the following disabilities? A specific learning disability? Mental retardation? A speech impairment? A serious emotional disturbance? Deafness or another hearing impairment? Blindness or another visual impairment? An orthopedic impairment? Another health impairment lasting 6 months or more?	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D11, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Development on Track	NHES: 93	#R104	Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that (CHILD) was developmentally delayed? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Development on Track	NHES: 95	#M03	Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that (CHILD) was developmentally delayed? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Healthy, Wanted Birth	NHES: 91	#C10	Does (CHILD) have any kind of handicapping condition? YES NO	A1, A2, A3	D10, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and	NHES: 93	#R106	In general, would you say that	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D12
Motor Development;			(CHILD)'s health is		
No Preventable Injury or			Excellent		
Disease			Very good		
			Good		
			Fair, or		
			Poor?		
Physical Well-Being and	NHES: 95	#M02	In general, would you say that	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Motor Development;			(CHILD)'s health is		
No Preventable Injury or			Excellent		
Disease			Very good		
			Good		
			Fair, or		
			Poor?		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Social and Emotional Development; Disposition to Learn	NHES: 93	#R52	Since the beginning of this school year, has a teacher said or written that a. (CHILD) has been doing really well in school? b. (CHILD) has not been learning up to (his/her) capabilities? c. (CHILD) doesn't concentrate, doesn't pay attention for long? d. (CHILD) has been acting up in school or disrupting the class? e. (CHILD) has often seemed sad or unhappy in class? f. (CHILD) has been very restless, fidgets all the time, or doesn't sit still? g. (CHILD) has been having trouble taking turns, sharing, or cooperating with other children? h. (CHILD) gets along with other children or works well in a group? i. (CHILD) is very enthusiastic and interested in a lot of different things? j. (CHILD) lacks confidence in learning new things or taking part in new activities? k. It's hard to understand what (CHILD) is saying? l. (CHILD) likes to speak out in class and express (his/her) ideas?	A1, A2, A3	D8, D11



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	NHES: 93	#R22	Can (CHILD) be left alone with a babysitter without a big fuss? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D11, D12
Social and Emotional Development	NHES: 93	#R24	Is (CHILD) afraid to speak to people (he/she) doesn't know? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	NHES: 91	#S20	Do you Own your home Rent your home, or Have some other arrangement?	A1, A2, A3	
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	NHES: 93	#S20	Do you Own your home Rent your home, or Have some other arrangement?	A1, A2, A3	
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	NHES: 93	#R160	Do you Own your home Rent your home, or Have some other arrangement?	A1, A2, A3	D11
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	NHES: 95	#P01	Do you Own your home, Rent your home, or Have some other arrangement?	A1, A2, A3	D11
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	NHES: 99	#PW01	Do you Own your home, Rent your home, or Have some other arrangement?	A1, A2, A3	D11
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 91	#C3	What is (CHILD)'s parents' marital status? Married Separated Divorced Widowed Living together in a marriage-like arrangement Not married	A1, A2, A3	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#E35	About how many books does (CHILD)	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			have of (his/her) own?		
Structured, Stimulating,			None		
Stable Environment at			1 or 2 books		
Home			3 to 9 books		
			10 or more books		
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#E36	About how often do you or other	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			family members read stories to		
Structured, Stimulating,			(CHILD)?		
Stable Environment at			Never		
Home			Several times a year		
			Several times a month		
			At least 3 times a week		
			Every Day		
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#E37	About how often does (CHILD) read	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			for enjoyment?		
Structured, Stimulating,			Every day		
Stable Environment at			Several times a week		
Home			Several times a month		
			Several times a year		
			Never		
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#E38	Does your family get a daily	A1, A2, A3	
Primary Caregiver,			newspaper?		
Structured, Stimulating,			Yes		
Stable Environment at			No		
Home					



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#E44	In the past week, have you or someone	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			in your family done the following		
Structured, Stimulating,			things with (CHILD)? (If "Yes," How		
Stable Environment at			many times in the last week: 1-2 times		
Home			or 3+ times)		
			Read to (him/her)		
			Yes		
			No		
			Discussed current events		
			Yes		
			No		
			Discussed science or nature		
			Yes		
			No		
			Done arts and crafts		
			Yes		
			No		
			Played games or sports		
			Yes		
			No		
			Watched educational television		
			Yes		
			No		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 91	#E45	In the past month, have you or someone else in your family done the following things with (CHILD)? (If "Yes," How many times in the last month: 1-2 times or 3+ times) (If "No," Has someone done this with (CHILD) in the past year?) Visited a library Yes No Gone to a movie Yes No Gone to a play, concert or other live show Yes No Visited an art gallery, museum, or historical site Yes No Visited a zoo or aquarium Yes No Visited a playground or park Yes	A1, A2, A3	D12
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 91	#P19	No About how often do you (and OTHER PARENT/ GUARDIAN) read stories to (CHILD)? Would you say Never Several times a year Several times a month At least 3 times a week Every day	A1, A2, A3	D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#P24	In the past week, have you or someone	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			in your family done the following		
Structured, Stimulating,			things with (CHILD)? (If "Yes," How		
Stable Environment at			many times? Would you say one or two		
Home			times, or three or more?)		
			Read to (him/her)		
			Yes		
			No		
			Taught letters, words or numbers		
			Yes		
			No		
			Taught songs or music		
			Yes		
			No		
			Told a story		
			Yes		
			No		
			Sang a song		
			Yes		
			No		
			Did arts and crafts		
			Yes		
			No		
			Played games or sports		
			Yes		
			No		
			Watched educational television		
			Yes		
			No		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 91	#P25	In the past month, have you or someone	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			in your family done the following		
Structured, Stimulating,			things with (CHILD)? (If "No," How		
Stable Environment at			about in the past year?)		
Home			Visited a library		
			Month		
			Yes		
			No		
			Year		
			Yes		
			No		
			Gone to a movie		
			Month		
			Yes		
			No		
			Year		
			Yes		
			No		
			Gone to a play, concert or other live		
			show		
			Month		
			Yes		
			No		
			Year		
			Yes		
			No		
			Visited an art gallery, museum or		
			historical site		
			Month		
			Yes		
			No		
			Year		
			Yes		
			No		
			Visited a zoo or aquarium		
			Month		
			Yes		
			No		
			Year		
			Yes		
			No		
			No Visited a playground or park		
			Month		75
			Yes		
			Y es No		
			N0 Vear		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 93	#R100	In the past month, have you or someone	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			else in your family done the following		
Structured, Stimulating,			things with (CHILD)?		
Stable Environment at			, , ,		
Home			Visited a library		
			Yes		
			No		
			Gone to a play, concert or other live		
			show		
			Yes		
			No		
			Visited an art gallery, museum, or		
			historical site		
			Yes		
			No		
			Visited a zoo or aquarium		
			Yes		
			No		
			Talked with (CHILD) about (his/her)		
			family history or ethnic heritage		
			Yes		
			No		
			Attended an event sponsored by a		
			community or religious group		
			Yes		
			No		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 93	#R137	What is the highest grade or year of	A1, A2, A3	DD12
Primary Caregiver,			school that (you/ (CHILD)'s (mother/		
Structured, Stimulating,			stepmother/ adopted mother/ foster		
Stable Environment at			mother/ female guardian)) completed?		
Home			Up to 8 th grade		
			9 th to 11 th grade		
			High school diploma/		
			equivalent		
			Vocational/ technical program		
			after high school		
			1-2 years of college		
			Associate's degree		
			3-4 years of college		
			Bachelor's degree		
			Graduate or professional school		
			(year/degree)		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 93	#R99	In the past week, have you or someone in your family done the following things with (CHILD)? (If "Yes," How many times? Would you say one or two times, or three or more?) Told (CHILD) a story Yes No Taught (him/her) letters, words or numbers Yes No Taught (CHILD) songs or music Yes No Did arts and crafts Yes No Played with toys or games indoors Yes No Played games or sports outdoors Yes No Took (CHILD) along while doing errands like going to the post office, the bank, or the store Yes No Involved (CHILD) in household chores like cooking, cleaning, setting the table, or caring for pets Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 95	#L03	In the past week, have you or someone in you family told (CHILD) a story? Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 95	#L05	In the past month, have you or someone in your family visited a library with (CHILD) Yes No	A1, A2, A3	D12
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	NHES: 95	#N07	What is the highest grade or year of school that (you/(CHILD'S))(mother/stepmother/foster mother)) completed? Up to 8 th grade 9 th to 11 th grade 12 th grade but no diploma High school diploma/equivalent Voc/tech program after high school but not voc/tech diploma Voc/tech diploma Voc/tech diploma after high school Some college but not degree Associates degree Bachelors degree Graduate or professional school but not degree Masters degree (MA, MS) Doctorate degree (PhD, EdD) Professional degree beyond bachelors degree (medicine/MD, Dentistry/DDS, Law/JD/LLB, etc.)	A1, A2, A3	D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 99	#PS03	In the past week, has anyone in your	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			family done the following things with		
Structured, Stimulating,			(CHILD)? (If yes: Would you say one		
Stable Environment at			or two times or three or more?)		
Home			Told (him/her) a story?		
			Taught (him/her) letters,		
			words, or numbers?		
			Taught (CHILD) songs or		
			music?		
			Worked on arts and crafts		
			with (him/her)		
			Took (CHILD) along		
			while doing errands like		
			going to the post office,		
			the bank, or in the store?		
			Involved (him/her) in		
			household chores like		
			cooking, cleaning,		
			setting the table, or		
			caring for pets?		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 99	#PS04	In the past month, that is, since (month)	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			(day), has anyone in your family done		
Structured, Stimulating,			the following things with (CHILD)?		
Stable Environment at			Visited a library?		
Home			Gone to a play, concert, or		
			other live show?		
			Visited an art gallery,		
			museum, or historical		
			site?		
			Visited a zoo or		
			aquarium?		
			In the past month, has		
			anyone in your family		
			done other things, such		
			as talked with (CHILD)		
			about (his/her) family		
			history or ethnic		
			heritage?		
			Attended an event		
			sponsored by a		
			community, ethnic, or		
			religious group?		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With	NHES: 99	#PU05	What is the highest grade or year of	A1, A2, A3	D12
Primary Caregiver,			school that (you/(CHILD)'s		
Structured, Stimulating,			(mother/stepmother/foster mother))		
Stable Environment at			completed?		
Home			Up to 8 th grade		
			9 th to 11 th grade		
			12 th grade but no diploma		
			High school		
			diploma/equivalent		
			Voc/tech program after		
			high school but no		
			voc/tech diploma		
			Voc/tech diploma after		
			high school		
			Some college but no		
			degree		
			Associates degree (AA,		
			AS)		
			Bachelors degree (BA,		
			BS)		
			Graduate or professional		
			school but no degree		
			Master's degree (MA,		
			MS)		
			Doctorate degree (PhD,		
			EdD)		
			Professional degree		
			beyond bachelor's		
			degree (Medicine/MD,		
			Dentistry/DDS,		
			Law/JD/LLB, etc)		



State

INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
	Delaware				
Development on Track	Delaware	Dept. of Health and Social Services	Percent of children (ages 0-3) receiving early intervention		
High Quality Child Care	Delaware	Dept. of Education	Participation rate of eligible 4-year-olds in Head Start and early child assistance program		
High Quality Child Care	Delaware (planned)	National Association for the Education of Young Children	Number of nationally accredited early child care and education sites		D5
High Quality Child Care	Delaware (planned)	National Association for the Education of Young Children	Number of nationally accredited family child care homes		D5
High Quality Child Care	Delaware (planned)	Dept. of Health And Social Services	Percent of families receiving child care support		
	Minnesota				
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	Child Care Resource and Referral, Census Data	Number of licensed child care spaces available for every 100 children of working parents		D5
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	Child Care Resource and Referral, Census Data	Percent of parents using quality child care arrangements as defined by training levels, years of experience, and accreditation		
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	MN Household Child Care Survey	Percent of Minnesota families paying more than 10% of their income for child care		
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	Child Care Assistance Data	Percent of families receiving child care assistance		
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	Child Care Resource and Referral	Percent of licensed child care providers who are willing to care for children receiving child care assistance		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	Child Care Resource and Referral	Percent of families who have access to resources in making child care decisions		
High Quality Child Care	Minnesota	School Readiness	Percent of children and families whose transportation needs for child care and other early education are met		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Minnesota	Early Childhood Screening, Kindergarten Retrospective Study	Percent of children who are immunized on appropriate schedule		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Minnesota	Early Childhood Screening	Percent of children with hearing and vision problems at the time of entry into kindergarten		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Social and Emotional Development; Language Development; Cognitive Development	Minnesota	Work Sampling System; Early Childhood Screening, part C-IFSP	Percent of children showing individual developmentally appropriate progress in all areas of their development.		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Minnesota	Early Childhood Family Education, School Readiness, Head Start	Percent of families with parenting knowledge and skills to anticipate and meet developmental needs of their children		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver; Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Minnesota	Early Childhood Family Education, School Readiness, Head Start	Percent of parents actively involved in their children's learning and education		
	Missouri				



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	27	(This student) classifies objects used in daily experiences (e.g., sorts knives, forks and spoons, compares plastic dinosaurs, or identifies similarities and differences among beads). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	28	(This student) writes some numbers. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	29	(This student) uses numerical relationships to solve problems in daily life (e.g., uses numbers to take lunch count or to figure out how many cookies are needed so that everyone can have one). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	30	(This student) orders things according to relative differences (e.g., arranges dolls according to height or trucks according to size). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	31	(This student) makes one-to-one correspondence (e.g., when playing a game, understands that "one" means to move his/her marker one space). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D6, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	32	(This student) determines "same," "more than," and "less than" by comparing (e.g., looks at her own and another child's collection of buttons and says that she has more buttons than the other child). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	33	(This student) uses spatial relationships to solve mathematical problems (e.g., rearranges blocks so they can all fit in a container, solves simple puzzles). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	34	(This student) shows understanding of sequence of daily events (e.g., knows recess comes after story time). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D6, D10
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	35	(This student) experiments with objects to produce effects (e.g., when playing with objects in water, may predict which objects will float and which objects will sink). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	36	(This student) explains own actions in manipulating objects (e.g., "The tower will fall if I put another block on top.") Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	58	(This student) tells first and last name. Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	59	(This student) knows how to contact an adult family member (e.g., knows a parent's or grandparent's home or work telephone number). Yes No	A1, A3	D2, D6, D10
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	60	(This student) knows age. Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	61	(This student) knows birthdate (month and date). Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	62	(This student) recognizes some basic shapes (e.g., circle, square, triangle). Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	63	(This student) identifies basic colors. Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	64	(This student) counts by rote to 10. Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Cognitive Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	65	(This student) recognizes and names some numbers to 10. Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Development on Track	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	7	Did your child receive the required immunizations for 2-year olds by the time he/she was 2 years old? Yes No	A1, A3	D2, D11, D12



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Development on Track	Missouri School Entry Assessment	8	Did your child have a health screening (a "well-baby" checkup) by 2 years of	A1, A3	D2, D11, D12
	Project –		age?		
	Parent/Guardian		Yes		
	Survey		No		
Development on Track	Missouri School	9	From birth to school entry, rate your	A1, A3	D2, D8, D12
	Entry Assessment		ability to access medical care for your		
	Project –		child when it was needed.		
	Parent/Guardian		Usually		
	Survey		Almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	2	(This student) uses play themes (e.g.,	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		pretends to be a fireman).		
	Project – Teacher		Almost always		
	Survey		Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	3	(This student) represents ideas and	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		feelings through movement (e.g., acts		
	Project – Teacher		like a butterfly, airplane, or truck).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	4	(This student) creates or responds to	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		music (e.g., claps hands to music,		
	Project – Teacher		sings, dances, or plays musical		
	Survey		instruments).		
			Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	5	(This student) represents ideas through	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		construction (e.g., builds with blocks or		
	Project – Teacher		other manipulatives).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	6	(This student) Uses art (e.g., clay,	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		paint, or crayons) to convey feelings		
	Project – Teacher		and ideas.		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	7	(This student) talks about his or her	A1, A3	D2, D10
-	Entry Assessment		creations (e.g., talks about illustrations		
	Project – Teacher		or constructions).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	44	(This student) shows curiosity and	A1, A3	D10, D16
1	Entry Assessment		interest (e.g., enjoys and notices new	,	,
	Project – Teacher		things in his/her environment).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	45	(This student) explores and tries new	A1, A3	D10, D16
P	Entry Assessment		things (e.g., is willing to try new	, -	
	Project – Teacher		activities and explore new materials).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	46	(This student) takes responsibility for	A1, A3	D10, D16
-F	Entry Assessment		belongings (e.g., hangs up coat, puts	, -	
	Project – Teacher		materials away).		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School	47	(This student) makes choices.	A1, A3	D2, D10
1	Entry Assessment		Almost always	, -	, -
	Project – Teacher		Occasionally/ sometimes		
	Survey		Not yet/ almost never		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	48	(This student) stays focused and productive when playing/ working independently. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	49	(This student) stays focused and productive when playing/ working in a group. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	50	(This student) shows pride in accomplishments. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	51	(This student) copes with frustration and failure. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	52	(This student) talks about what he/she is learning. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care N	Missouri School	14a	Did your child have this experience?	A1, A3	D2 (for some), D8,
	Entry Assessment				D12
	Project –		Parents as teachers		
	Parent/Guardian		Yes		
	Survey		No		
			First Steps		
			Yes		
			No		
			Early childhood special education		
			Yes		
			No		
			Early Head Start		
			Yes		
			No		
			Head Start		
			Yes		
			No		
			Public preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Private preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Child care at a center		
			Yes		
			No		
			Parent care at own home		
			Yes		
			No Child core at own home		
			Child care at own home		
			Yes		
			No Child care at another private home		
			Child care at another private home		
			Yes		
			No		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Missouri School	14b	If yes, how long?	A1, A3	D2, D8, D12
	Entry Assessment				
	Project –		Parents as teachers		
	Parent/Guardian		Less than 1 year		
	Survey		1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			First Steps		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Early childhood special education		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Early Head Start		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Head Start		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Public preschool		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Private preschool		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years Child care at a center		
			Less than 1 year 1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Parent care at own home		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Child care at own home		
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		
			Child care at another private home		92
			Less than 1 year		
			1-2 years		
			more than 2 years		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Missouri School	14c	Was the preschool/child care licensed?	A1, A3	D2, D8, D12
	Entry Assessment				
	Project –		Early Head Start		
	Parent/Guardian		Yes		
	Survey		No		
			Don't Know		
			Head Start		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Public preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Private preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Child care at a center		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Child care at another private home		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project –	14d	Was the preschool/child care accredited?	A1, A3	D2, D8, D12
	Parent/Guardian		Early Head Start		
	Survey		Yes		
	Survey		No		
			Don't Know		
			Head Start		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Public preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Private preschool		
			Yes		
			No		
			Don't Know		
			Child care at a center		
			Yes No		
			Don't Know		
Language Development	Missouri School	10	Someone in the home reads to my	A1, A3	D12, D16
Language Development	Entry Assessment	10	child	A1, A3	D12, D16
	Project –		Rarely or never		
	Parent/Guardian		Monthly		
	Survey		Weekly		
	Survey		Daily or almost daily		
Language Development	Missouri School	11	Someone in the home tells stories to	A1, A3	D12, D16
5	Entry Assessment		my child	, -	, -
	Project –		Rarely or never		
	Parent/Guardian		Monthly		
	Survey		Weekly		
			Daily or almost daily		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Missouri School	12	My child and I have conversations	A1, A3	D2, D15
	Entry Assessment		Rarely or never		
	Project –		Monthly		
	Parent/Guardian		Weekly		
	Survey		Daily or almost daily		
Language Development	Missouri School	13	My child looks at books and	A1, A3	D10, D12, D16
	Entry Assessment		magazines		
	Project –		Rarely or never		
	Parent/Guardian		Monthly		
	Survey		Weekly		
			Daily or almost daily		
Language Development	Missouri School	8	(This student) uses language to	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		communicate ideas, feelings, questions,		,
	Project – Teacher		or to solve problems.		
	Survey		Almost always		
			Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Language Development	Missouri School	9	(This student) uses language to pretend	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		or create.		·
	Project – Teacher		Almost always		
	Survey		Occasionally/ sometimes		
			Not yet/ almost never		
Language Development	Missouri School	10	(This student) responds to questions.	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		Almost always		·
	Project – Teacher		Occasionally/ sometimes		
	Survey		Not yet/ almost never		
Language Development	Missouri School	11	(This student) follows directions.	A1, A3	D2, D10
	Entry Assessment		Almost always		
	Project – Teacher		Occasionally/ sometimes		
	Survey		Not yet/ almost never		
Language Development	Missouri School	12	(This student) shows interest in books.	A1, A3	D10, D16
	Entry Assessment		Almost always		
	Project – Teacher		Occasionally/ sometimes		
	Survey		Not yet/ almost never		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	13	(This student) uses picture cues and/or context cues to construct meaning from text (e.g., when being read to). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	14	(This student) exhibits book-handling skills (e.g., knows how to hold a book and understands the direction of print). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	15	(This student) reads environmental print (e.g., cereal boxes, logos, signs). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	16	(This student) responds to texts (e.g., talks about books, laughs, makes predictions, intones, questions, or compares). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	17	(This student) identifies letters in the alphabet (e.g., recognizes letter name). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	18	(This student) recognizes that there is a relationship between letters and sounds (e.g., gives the sound of a letter or gives a word that starts with the letter). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	19	(This student) realizes that written spellings represent spoken words. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	20	(This student) "reads" simple books (e.g., easy, beginning books or predictable books). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D6, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	21	(This student) scribbles with intended meaning. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	22	(This student) uses some letters in writing (e.g., letters from own name). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	23	(This student) uses letter-sound correspondence to write (i.e., invented spelling). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	24	(This student) uses a variety of resources (e.g., peers, books, environmental print) to facilitate writing. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	25	(This student) shares writing with others (e.g., tells others about the intended meaning in drawings and writing). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Language Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	26	(This student) recognizes first name in print. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Parent/Guardian Survey	6	Is your child covered by health insurance other than Medicaid? Yes No	A1, A3	D11, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	53	(This student) is physically active. Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	54	(This student) demonstrates gross motor skills (e.g., running, jumping, climbing stairs, or skipping). Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	55	(This student) demonstrates fine motor skills (e.g., control of scissors or pencil). Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	56	(This student) appears to be healthy. Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	57	(This student) practices personal hygiene. Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	1	(This student) takes part in interactive play with others. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes	A1, A3	D2, D10
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	37	Not yet/ almost never (This student) uses adults as resources (e.g., asks questions, requests materials). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	38	(This student) initiates conversation with familiar adults. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	39	(This student) works cooperatively with others in a give-and-take manner. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	40	(This student) uses peers as resources. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	41	(This student) shares resources (e.g., toys, manipulatives) with others. Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	42	(This student) shows sensitivity and respect for others (e.g., shares with others or offers comfort when someone is hurt or sad). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	Missouri School Entry Assessment Project – Teacher Survey	43	(This student) suggests appropriate solutions to conflicts (e.g., negotiates rules during play/work – who will go first, handles conflicts over materials by taking turns or playing together). Almost always Occasionally/ sometimes Not yet/ almost never	A1, A3	D2, D10
.1. 0.11	New York	0.00			7.5
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Indicated reports of child abuse and maltreatment		D5
Development on Track	New York	Department of Health	Percent of children in early intervention		
Development on Track	New York	Department of Health	Percent of children enrolled in preschool special education		
Development on Track	New York	Department of Health	Rates of hospitalization resulting from asthma, ages 0-4		
Family Economically Secure	New York	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance	Percent of children/youth in poverty		
Family Economically Secure	New York	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance	Percent of children receiving public assistance		
Family Economically Secure	New York	Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance	Percent of children receiving food stamps		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	New York	Department of Health	Percent of low birth weight births		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	New York	Department of Health	Number of live births to mothers with less than a high school education		D5



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Percent of 4-year-olds enrolled in licensed/registered early childhood programs		
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Number of regulated/licensed child care programs		D5
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Number of accredited child care facilities		D5
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Number of reported injuries or deaths in child care		D5
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Percent of families on child care subsidies		
High Quality Child Care	New York	Office of Children and Family Services	Number of subsidized slots		D5
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	New York	Department of Health	Percent of children entering school immunized		
	North Carolina				
Cognitive Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Bracken Basic Concept Scale	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of math ability (composite of 2 assessments of skills such as counting and concepts such as "big")	A2	D1, D3, D13
Cognitive Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Color Bears— adapted from FACES	Percent of children who can name 10 basic colors	A5	D1, D3, D13
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14a	How frequently does your child persist at tasks (keep working even when things get hard)? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14b	How frequently does your child seem eager to learn new things? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14c	How frequently does your child show creativity in play or work? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D2, D10
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14d	How frequently does your child ask for help when needed? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D2, D10
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14e	How frequently does your child take pride in what s/he can do? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D2, D10
Disposition to Learn	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	14f	How frequently does your child say good things about school? Never Sometimes Often Very Often	A1, A3	D2, D10
High Quality Child Care	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	16	Last year, was your child cared for regularly by someone other than his/her parent for more than 10 hours a week? Yes No	A1, A3	D8, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	17	In which one arrangement did your child spend the most time last year? Care by a relative (for example, grandmother) Babysitter or nanny (not a relative) Head Start - Name of Head Start: - City: Preschool at a public school (not Head Start) - Name of Preschool: - City: Child care center or preschool (not Head Start, not in a public school) - Name of Center: - City: Family daycare (not in child's own home) - Name of daycare provider (first and last): - City:	A1, A3	D8, D16
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Comprehen-sive Test of Phonological Processing	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of phonemic awareness	A2	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test- III, Form A	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a test of understanding of spoken words	A2	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Story and Print Concepts— adapted from FACES	Percent of children who can identify the front of a book	A5	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Story and Print Concepts— adapted from FACES	Percent of children who know that you read from left to right	A5	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Woodcock Johnson Test of Achievement— Revised, Form A	Percent of children with {very low, low, average, high, or very high} scores on a measure of ability to recognize letters and simple words	A2	D1, D3, D13
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15b	Does your child mostly write or draw rather than scribble? Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15d	Does your child speak clearly (can be understood by a stranger)? Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Language Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	5	Language child learned to speak first: English Spanish Other language:	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	12	What is your main source of health insurance coverage for this child? Private health insurance/ private HMO (for example, employer provided) NC Health Choice (NC health insurance for working families) Medicaid CHAMPUS (military health insurance) Other (please specify): No health insurance coverage	A1, A3	D12, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	13	How would you rate your child's health in general? Poor Fair Good Very Good Excellent	A1, A2, A3	D6, D10, D12
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15a	Does your child button own clothes? Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15c	Does your child trip, stumble or fall easily? Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15e	Does your child see clearly without glasses? Yes No If no, does your child see clearly with glasses? Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	15f	Does your child hear clearly without a hearing aid? Yes No If no, does your child hear clearly with a hearing aid? Yes No	A1, A3	D6, D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Social Skills Rating System	Percent of children who score {very high, high, average, low, or very low} on a measure of social skills (i.e., makes friends easily, accepts peer ideas)	A2	D1, D3, D13



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment	Social Skills Rating System	Percent of children who score {very high, high, average, low, or very low} on a measure of problem behaviors (i.e., fights with others, gets angry easily)	A2	D1, D3, D13
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	11	What is the highest level of formal education completed by the child's father? Up to 8 th grade 9 th -12 th grade, no H.S. diploma High School diploma/ GED Some college, but no degree Associate's or Vocational degree Bachelor's degree (BA, BS) Graduate/ Professional degree (e.g. MA, PhD, MD)	A1, A2, A3	D12
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	18a	In the past week, how many times have you (or someone in your family) (read to) your kindergarten child? Not at all 1-2 times 3-6 times Every day	A1, A3	D10, D12, D16
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	18b	In the past week, how many times have you (or someone in your family) (told a story to) your kindergarten child? Not at all 1-2 times 3-6 times Every day	A1, A3	D10, D16
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	18c	In the past week, how many times have you (or someone in your family) (taught letters, words or numbers to) your kindergarten child? Not at all 1-2 times 3-6 times Every day	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	North Carolina School Readiness Assessment – Parent Survey	9	What is the highest level of formal education completed by the child's mother? Up to 8 th grade 9 th -12 th grade, no H.S. diploma High School diploma/ GED Some college, but no degree Associate's or Vocational degree Bachelor's degree (BA, BS) Graduate/ Professional degree (e.g. MA, PhD, MD)	A1, A2, A3	
	Rhode Island				
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Child abuse and neglect rate for children under age 5		
Cognitive Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with difficulty learning academic subjects		
Cognitive Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Admin. Data	Percent of children with age- appropriate reasoning and problem- solving skills		
Cognitive Development	Rhode Island (planned)	SALT Survey	Percent of children with age- appropriate numerical skills		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care with regular, timely well-child visits		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care who have up-to-date immunizations		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	ChildFind— Child Outreach Program	Percent of children with developmental screening at age 3		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Current Population Survey	Percent of children under age 6 without health insurance		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children on Rite Care with dental exam by age 5		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children under age 6 on Rite Care who have accessed mental health services		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	RI DHS/ DCYF	Percent of eligible children enrolled in comprehensive birth to 3 program (i.e., Early Head Start, Early Start)		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	RI Dept. of Health	Number of children enrolled in Early Intervention		D5
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children with IEPs in pre-kindergarten and kindergarten		
Development on Track	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children under 6 on Rite Care screened for lead poisoning		
Disposition to Learn	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with difficulty working independently and being self-directed		
Disposition to Learn	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with poor concentration or limited attention		
Disposition to Learn	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with difficulty following directions		
Family Economically Secure	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children under age 6 below the poverty line		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children who were born weighing under 5.5 pounds		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children who were born weighing less than 3 pounds		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Infant mortality rate		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Births to teens ages 15 to 17		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of women receiving late or no prenatal care		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Salt Survey	Percent of children enrolled in early care and education program the year prior to school entry (kindergarten)		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	RI DHS	Percent FIP enrolled children participating in child care programs by type of program		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Number of early care and education slots per 100 children ages 3 to 5 in need of care		D5
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Number of early care and education slots per 100 children ages birth to 3 in need of care		D5
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of low-income children in comprehensive child care program/Head Start		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of child care slots in accredited programs		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of family child care slots in accredited programs		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Rate Survey	Percent of child care center staff with early childhood education degree		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Rate Survey	Percent of family child care staff with early childhood training		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Rate Survey	Percent of child care center slots in programs with low staff turnover rate		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island (planned)	DCYF Child Care Licensing	Percent of early care and education slots in programs without health and safety violations		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island (planned)	DCYF/DHS	Percent of early care and education programs of high quality versus poor quality		
High Quality Child Care	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of income-eligible families using child care subsidies (i.e., child care subsidy "take-up rate")		
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Unknown	Percent of children who use their primary language appropriately to communicate needs and wants		
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Unknown	Percent of children who have age- and culturally-appropriate vocabulary		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	SALT Survey	Percent of children with age- appropriate preliteracy skills (numbers, letters, writing, language)		
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Unknown	Percent of children with age- appropriate literacy in primary language		
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Unknown	Percent of children with age- appropriate letter recognition		
Language Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Unknown	Percent of children with age- appropriate familiarity and skills with books and print		
Language Development	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of parents who read to elementary school-aged child many times in the last year		
Language Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey	Percent of parents who took their elementary school-aged children to the library many times in the past year		
No Preventable Disease or Injury	Rhode Island	Dept. of Health	Child injury hospitalization rate for children 0-5		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	Rite Care; KIDSNET	Percent of children with up-to-date immunizations		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	Current Population Survey	Percent of children under age 6 without health insurance		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Admin. Data	Percent of children without health insurance at kindergarten entry		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Admin. Data	Percent of children with untreated dental problems at kindergarten entry		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with poor concentration or limited attention		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Admin. Data	Percent of children with untreated hearing/vision problems at kindergarten entry		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children with IEPs Pre-K and K		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island (planned)	Admin. Data	Percent of children with undetected disability/developmental problem that requires special education services at kindergarten entry		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Percent of children with a history of lead poisoning		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Asthma hospitalization rate for children		
Social and Emotional Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with difficulty working with other students		
Social and Emotional Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children who are disruptive in class		
Social and Emotional Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children who are overly aggressive to peers		
Social and Emotional Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children who constantly seek attention		
Social and Emotional Development	Rhode Island	SALT Survey, Teacher-Student Rating Scale	Percent of children with difficulty working independently and being self-directed		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island	Dept. of Health, informal survey	Percent of families with preschool children that read to their child every day		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island (planned)	Dept. of Health, informal survey	Percent of families with preschool children that regulate television viewing/computer use		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island	New Born Screening, Dept. of Health	Percent of children born to parents with a history of mental problems		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island	New Born Screening, Dept. of Health	Percent of children born to parents with a history of substance problems		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island	RI Emergency Shelter Information System	Number of children under age 6 living in homeless shelters		D5
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island	Admin. Data	Number of children under age 6 in foster care		D5
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island (planned)	DCYF	Percent of children under age 6 in foster care who are placed in a permanent home		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Rhode Island (planned)	DCYF	Percent of children under 6 in DCYF care who had multiple placements		
	South Carolina				
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Reported cases of abuse and neglect		D5
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Reported cases of physical abuse		D5
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Reported cases of neglect		D5
Cognitive Development	South Carolina	Cognitive Skills Assessment Battery	Percent of children who score "ready" on a test of cognitive skills		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children under age 2 seen in public health clinics that		
			were not fully immunized		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Hospitalization for children age		
			0-5—total discharge rate		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Hospitalization for children age 0-5— percent of discharges classified self pay or indigent		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Emergency room visits for children age 0-5—total discharge rate		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Leading causes of hospitalization for children age 0-2		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Leading causes of hospitalization for children age 3-5		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children who received services from Babynet (early intervention program for all children under age 3 with developmental disabilities)		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children who participated in Child Rehabilitative Services		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children participating in the WIC program		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of WIC participants who met the criteria for malnutrition		
Development on Track	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of WIC participants who met the criteria for anemia		
Family Economically Secure	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Median income for families with children under age 6, by household type: Married couple household Single male headed household Single female headed household		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Poverty status for families with		
Secure			children under age 5, by household		
			type:		
			Children living in a married		
			couple household with an		
			income below the poverty		
			level		
			Children living in a single		
			parent household with an		
			income below the poverty		
			level		
			Children living with a single		
			father with an income		
			below the poverty level		
			Children living with a single		
			mother with an income		
			below the poverty level		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Ratio of income to poverty status for		
Secure			families with children under age 5:		
			Families living at 50% of		
			poverty and below		
			Families living at 100% of		
			poverty and below		
			Families living at 185% of		
			poverty and below		
			Families living at 200% of		
			poverty and below		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Employment status of parents in		
Secure			families with children under age 6, by		
			family type (both parents present,		
			single mother, single father):		
			Both parents are in the labor		
			force		
			One parent is in the labor		
			force		
			No parent is in the labor force		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Hours worked per week of parents with		
Secure			children under age 6, by family type		
			(both parents present, single mother,		
			single father)		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of births to mothers with less		D5
Secure			than a high school diploma		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of single mothers with		D5
Secure			children under 6 who are on TANF		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of single mothers with		D5
Secure			children under 6 who are receiving		
			food stamps		
Family Economically	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of single mothers with		D5
Secure			children under 6 who are on Medicaid		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children who were born		
			weighing under 5.5 pounds		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of children who were born		
			weighing less than 3 pounds		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of births to teens		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of births to mothers receiving		D5
			Medicaid, by age group:		
			Less than 18		
			18-19		
			20-24		
			25-29		
			30-34		
			35+		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of women who received no		
			prenatal care		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of women who received		
			inadequate prenatal care		
High Quality Child Care	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Number of children ages 3-5 enrolled		D5
			in pre-primary school (public and		
			private)		
No Preventable Disease or	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Emergency room visits for children age		
Injury			0-5—percent of discharges that were		
			classified as injury		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
No Preventable Disease or Injury	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Emergency room visits for children age 0-5—percent of discharges that were classified as non-injury		
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Healthy, Wanted Birth	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Infant mortality rate		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Home Environment	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of married couple families with children under 6		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Home Environment	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of single parent households with children under 6		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Home Environment	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of single male headed households with children under 6		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Home Environment	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Percent of single female headed households with children under 6		
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Home Environment	South Carolina	Admin. Data	Household type and relationship to children under 6 years: Living with their own parents (married couple, single father, single mother) Living with grandparents (married couple, single) Living with other relatives (married couple, single) Living with non-relatives (family setting, non-family setting) Living in group quarters (institution, other)		
	Vermont		(montation, onto)		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Absence of Abuse,	Vermont	Child Protection	Rate of child abuse and neglect for		
Neglect, Exposure to Violence		Agency	children ages 0-4		
Cognitive Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	19	19 (Child) can recall and explain sequences of events (e.g. can tell about a recent activity, can retell a story). Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently		D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	5			D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	7			D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	8	(Child) persists with self-selected activity (approximately 15 minutes) with intermittent teacher attention. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	9	Performing Independently (Child) appears enthusiastic and interested in classroom activities. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently		D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	10	(Child) uses a variety of strategies to problem solve in the classroom. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	(Child) pays attention during teacher-directed group activities (approximately 15 minutes). Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently		A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	12	(Child) knows how and when to use adults as a resource. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	13	(Child) initiates activities in the classroom. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D2, D10
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	14	(Child) is curious (asks questions, probes, tries new things, etc.) Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Disposition to Learn	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	22	(Child) engages in imaginative play. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D2, D10
Family Economically Secure	Vermont	Dept. of Social Welfare	Percent of children ages 0-4 in families receiving welfare		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Estimated percent that need child care		
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Number of licensed centers D5		D5
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Number of registered family day care homes D5		D5
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Number of serious injuries (abuse) in child care		D5
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Average weekly rate for child care		
High Quality Child Care	Vermont	Child Care Services Division	Subsidized weekly rate for child care		
High Quality Child Care	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher		Prior to kindergarten this student was in a regulated early childhood program (Regulated means licensed centers, registered family day care homes, Head Start, or other preschool). Yes No	A1, A3	D10, D12, D16
High Quality Child Care	Vermont "Ready Schools" Principal Questionnaire	7	Does your community offer universal access (i.e., at no cost to families) to school-based or school-linked preschool? Yes No	A1, A3	D2
Language Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	18	(Child) understands the purpose of books. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently		D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Language Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	20	(Child) recognizes his/her name in print. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Language Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	21			D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Vermont	Dept. of Health Immunization Program	Percent of kindergartners fully immunized	A1, A3	
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	23	(Child) demonstrates self-help skills (e.g., toileting, wiping nose, washing hands) with occasional teacher assistance. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently		D10, D16
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	24a	Does the child's ability to learn appear to be inhibited by illness? Not observed Seldom Sometimes Often	A1, A3	D2, D10
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	24b	Does the child's ability to learn appear to be inhibited by fatigue? Not observed Seldom Sometimes Often	A1, A3	D2, D10



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	24c	Does the child's ability to learn appear to be inhibited by hunger? Not observed Seldom Sometimes Often		D2, D10
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher			A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	2	(Child) uses problem-solving skills to address social dilemmas with peer. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	3	(Child) separates easily from caregiver. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D2, D10
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	4	(Child) appropriately expresses a range of emotions (happy, sad, angry, frustrated). Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	6	(Child) interacts positively with adults in the classroom. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION	ADVANTAGE	DISADVANTAGE
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	15	thoughts in primary language. Not observed Beginning Practicing		D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	16	Performing Independently (Child) understands simple directions, requests, and information. Not observed Beginning Practicing Performing Independently	A1, A3	D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	17			D10, D16
Social and Emotional Development	Vermont "Ready Kindergartners" Questionnaire – Teacher	24d	Does the child's ability to learn appear to be inhibited by emotional issues? (please specify): Not observed Seldom Sometimes Often	A1, A3	D2, D10



Advantages and Disadvantages of Local Surveys and Indicators

The local surveys and indicators have advantages and disadvantages that cut across the items:

Advantages

- Helps fill in gaps in substantive areas, for example in areas of social support, neighborhood quality, and housing quality.
- Items that rely on a single informant are often time efficient and cost effective.

Disadvantages

- Items often lack benchmark capacity.
- Items also often lack validity/reliability information.
- Items may have response biases (difficult to answer honestly, informant may lack information).



Local

INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	Hope VI Resident	65	In the past 12 months, have you done any volunteer work in your
Supportive Networks,	Satisfaction Survey		community?
Formal and Informal			Yes
			No
Family Economically	Hope VI Resident	62	Do you work for pay?
Secure	Satisfaction Survey		Yes
			No
Family Economically	Hope VI Resident	63	Are you enrolled in school or in a vocational training program?
Secure	Satisfaction Survey		Yes
			No
Family Economically	Hope VI Resident	64	Are you looking for employment?
Secure	Satisfaction Survey		Yes
			No
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	30	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			People being attacked or robbed in stairwells, hallways, elevators or lobby
			of your building?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	31	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			People selling drugs?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	32	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			People using drugs?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	33	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			Groups of people just hanging out?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	34	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			Graffiti – that is, writing or painting on the walls?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	36	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			Trash and junk in the parking lots and lawns?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Resident	35	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem,
	Satisfaction Survey		or no problem in those areas right outside your building.
			Shootings and violence?
			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	41	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Was anyone's purse or jewelry snatched from them?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No .
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	42	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Was anyone beaten or assaulted?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	43	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Was anyone stabbed or shot?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	44	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Did anyone break into your home to steal something?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	45	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Was anyone caught in a shootout?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No
Safe, Healthy Public	Hope VI Resident	46	Tell me if any of the following things have happened to you or to anyone
Space;	Satisfaction Survey		in your Household at (Use Development Name) in the past 12 months.
Absence of Abuse,			Have bullets come into your apartment in the past 12 months?
Neglect, Exposure to			Yes
Violence			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	10	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			Broken windows or windows without screens?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	11	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			A heating system that does not work?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	12	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment. A stove or refrigerator that does not work? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	13	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment. Exposed wire or electrical problems? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	14	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment. Too little living space? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	15	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment. Adequacy of storage space? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	16	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment. Too much noise? Big problem Some problem No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	17	How safe do you feel being alone at night in the area right outside your building – that is, in the parking lots, the lawns, the streets or sidewalks right outside your building? Do you feel Very safe Somewhat safe Somewhat unsafe Very unsafe
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	18	How safe do you feel being alone at night inside your apartment? Do you feel Very safe Somewhat safe Somewhat unsafe Very unsafe
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	19	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. People being attacked or robbed in stairwells, hallways, elevators or lobby of your building? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	20	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. People selling drugs? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	21	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. People using drugs? Big problem Some problem No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	22	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Young people controlling the building? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	23	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Groups of people just hanging out? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	24	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Graffiti – that is, writing or painting on the walls? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	25	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Shootings and violence? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	26	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. People breaking in or sneaking into homes to steal things? Big problem Some problem No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	27	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Broken light bulbs that are not replaced for at least 24 hours? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	28	Tell me whether you think the following is a big problem, some problem, or no problem (in the stairwells, hallways, elevators and lobby) inside your building. Trash and junk in the halls and stairwells? Big problem Some problem No problem
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	3	On the whole, how do you feel about your building as a place to live? Are you Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	39	Do people in your building generally help each other out, or do they go on their own way? Help each other out Go their own way Both
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	4	How would you rate your overall satisfaction with your apartment? Would you say you are Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Resident Satisfaction Survey	48	In the past 12 months were vacant apartments in your building boarded up? Yes No



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	49	In the past 12 months, were vacant apartments in your building rented to
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		new tenants within 30 days?
Affordable Housing, Other			Yes
Neighborhood Assets			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	50	In the past 12 months, did the housing authority fix anything in your
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		apartment?
Affordable Housing, Other			Yes
Neighborhood Assets			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	51	In the past 12 months were the elevators you use not working for more
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		than 24 hours?
Affordable Housing, Other			Yes
Neighborhood Assets			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	52	In the past 12 months were there problems with trash piling up in the
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		hallways?
Affordable Housing, Other			Yes
Neighborhood Assets			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	53	To your knowledge, has the Housing Authority started any new programs
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		or activities in your building in the past 12 months?
Affordable Housing, Other			Yes
Neighborhood Assets			No
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	6	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			Walls with peeling paint or broken plaster?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	7	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			Plumbing that doesn't work?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	8	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			Rats or mice?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Resident	9	Think about your apartment. Tell me whether you think the following is a
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Satisfaction Survey		big problem, some problem, or no problem in your apartment.
Affordable Housing, Other			Broken locks or no locks on the door to your unit?
Neighborhood Assets			Big problem
			Some problem
			No problem
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Windshield	#6	Condition of streets, curbs, gutters
	Survey		Excellent
			Good
			Fair
			Poor
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Windshield	#7	Maintenance of street lighting, trash containers, signs
	Survey		Excellent
			Good
			Fair
			Poor
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Windshield	#8	Presence of litter, abandoned cars, other problems
	Survey		Major problem
			Minor problem
			Not a problem
			Specify problems:
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Hope VI Windshield	#9	Adequacy of owner housekeeping (side yards, backyards, porches,
	Survey		garages)
			Excellent
			Good
			Fair
			Poor



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#1	Land use characteristics (in the neighborhood) % Residential % Commercial % Industrial % Institutional % Other
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#13	What would you say is the approximate quality distribution of residential structures in this neighborhood? Luxury High quality Average quality Below quality Poor
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#14	What is your overall assessment of the quality of this neighborhood as a residential area? Excellent Good Fair Poor
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#2	Age of most residential structures (in the neighborhood) % Pre- 1945 % 1946-1960 % 1961-present
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#3	Type of residential structures (in the neighborhood) % Single-family detached % Garden/ row/ townhouse % Multifamily (2-4 units) % Multifamily (5-10) units % Multifamily (10+ units)
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Hope VI Windshield Survey	#4	Type of construction (in the neighborhood) % Wood frame % Masonry % Mixed



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM #	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive	Hope VI Windshield	#5	General condition of the housing units (in the neighborhood)
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Survey		% Sound
Affordable Housing, Other			% Minor/Some deterioration
Neighborhood Assets			% Major deterioration
			% Dilapidated/Abandoned
Family Connected to	ISSB	02	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Was right there with you in a stressful situation
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	04	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Watched your possessions when you were away
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	05	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you what he/she did in a similar situation
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	06	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Did some activity together to help divert your thoughts
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	07	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Talked with you about some interests of yours
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	08	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Let you know that you did something well
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	10	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you that you are OK just the way you are
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	11	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you that she/he would keep conversations confidential
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	12	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Assisted you in setting a goal for yourself
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	13	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Made it clear what was expected of you
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	14	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Expressed esteem or respect for a competency of yours
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	15	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Gave you some information on how to do something
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	16	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Suggested some action you should take
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	18	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Comforted you by showing some physical affection
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	19	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Gave you some information to help you understand a situation
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	21	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Checked back with you to see if you followed advice
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	23	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Helped you understand why you didn't do something well
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	24	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Listened to you talk about your private feelings
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	26	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Agreed that what you wanted to do was right
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	27	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Said things that made your situation clearer
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	28	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you how he/she felt in a similar situation
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	29	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Let you know that he/she will always be around (when) help is needed
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	30	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Expressed interest and concern in your well-being
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	32	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you who you should see for assistance
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	33	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Told you what to expect in a future situation
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	35	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Taught you how to do something
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	36	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Gave you feedback on how you were doing
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	37	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Joked and kidded to try to cheer you up
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Connected to	ISSB	38	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Provided you with a place to stay
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	ISSB	39	Rate frequency that natural helpers (friends, family members, etc.)
Supportive Networks,			Pitched in to help you do something that you needed to be done
Formal and Informal			Not at all
			Once or twice
			About once a week
			Several times a week
			About every day
Family Economically	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Low Maternal Education
Secure			
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Births to Unmarried Women
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Late or No Prenatal Care
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Low-Birth weight Births (Less than 5.5 Pounds)
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Preterm Births (Less than 37 complete weeks of gestation)
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Repeat Teen Births
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Smoking During Pregnancy
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Kids Count	City Trends (1990-1998)	Teen Births
Family Connected to	Neighboring in an	#C1	Do you feel a sense of community with other people on this block (for
Supportive Networks,	Urban Environment		example, do you share interests and concerns with them)?
Formal and Informal			1 – Not at all
			2
			3
			4
			5 – A great deal
Family Connected to	Neighboring in an	#C3	Some people care a lot about the kind of block they live on. For others, the
Supportive Networks,	Urban Environment		block is not important. How important is what your block is like to you?
Formal and Informal			1 – Not at all
			2
			3
			4
			5 – A great deal



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#D1	Index of degree of participation in block organization; a) Nonmembership b) Only attended and occasionally talked at meetings c) Also encouraged neighbors to come to meeting and/or work on a committee or outside the meetings and/or hosted a meeting at home d) Also acted as an officer or committee leader of the organization
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#B1	All things considered, how satisfied are you with this block as a place to live? 1 – Most satisfied 2 3 4 5 – Most dissatisfied
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#B2	If you think of your neighborhood as the area around your block, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the condition of your neighborhood as a place to live? 1 – Most satisfied 2 3 4 5 – Most dissatisfied
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#B4a	Six item index of block characteristics: Is your block 1 - dangerous 2 3 4 5 6 - safe



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive	Neighboring in an	#B4b	Six item index of block characteristics:
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Urban Environment		Is your block
Affordable Housing, Other			1 – unattractive
Neighborhood Assets			2
			3
			4
			5
			6 – attractive
Stable, Supportive	Neighboring in an	#B4c	Six item index of block characteristics:
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Urban Environment		Is your block
Affordable Housing, Other			1 – messy
Neighborhood Assets			2
			3
			4
			5
			6 – neat
Stable, Supportive	Neighboring in an	#B4d	Six item index of block characteristics:
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Urban Environment		Is your block
Affordable Housing, Other			1 – noisy
Neighborhood Assets			2
			3
			4
			5
			6 – quiet
Stable, Supportive	Neighboring in an	#B4e	Six item index of block characteristics:
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Urban Environment		Is your block
Affordable Housing, Other			1 – houses need repair
Neighborhood Assets			2
			3
			4
			5
			6 – houses in good condition



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#B4f	Six item index of block characteristics: Is your block 1 – streets/walks need repair 2 3 4 5 6 – streets/walks in good condition
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Neighboring in an Urban Environment	#B4g	Six item index of block characteristics: Is your block 1 – a bad place to raise kids 2 3 4 5 6 – a good place to raise kids
Cognitive Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who can demonstrate an understanding of cause and effect relationships
Cognitive Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who demonstrate age-appropriate pre-math and mathematics skills



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Cognitive Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who generate, test and evaluate solutions to concrete problems
Cognitive Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/ parent surveys, teacher observation)	Percent of children who can decide when help is needed and obtain it in an emergency
Disposition to Learn	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/ guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Percent of children who perform their assigned tasks at school, at home and in community-based program settings
Disposition to Learn	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who are motivated and actively involved in learning tasks
Disposition to Learn	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who can follow multi-step oral directions
Disposition to Learn	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who can listen and attend to academic tasks on an age appropriate level



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM #	QUESTION
Disposition to Learn	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/ parent surveys, teacher observation)	Percent of children who initiate and follow through on activities
Language Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who can demonstrate age appropriate reading and writing skills
Language Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who demonstrate age appropriate understanding of oral language
Language Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who demonstrate early literacy skills
Language Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Performance measures, school grade reports, scores of school readiness screening, parent/teacher surveys, teacher ratings using scales, checklists, or questionnaires)	Percent of children who effectively use spoken language in an age- appropriate manner
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (Physical fitness tests, parent/teacher observations, medical records)	Percent of children who are aware of the potential dangers of drugs, alcohol, and tobacco



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Physical fitness	Percent of children who are aware of the risks of unintentional injury and
Motor Development		tests, parent/teacher	ways of reducing those risks
		observations, medical records)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Teacher/	Percent of children who are aware of the importance of nutrition, physical
Motor Development		parent surveys or interviews,	fitness, and basic health care to overall physical health
		child surveys or interviews,	
DI : LW/IID : 1	NOWC	medical records)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Check-Up History
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
Dhasical Wall Daing and	NOWG	surveys/interviews Admin. Data (Healthcare	Dental History
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development;	NOWG	provider records, school health	Dental History
Development on Track		records, parent	
Development on Track		surveys/interviews	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Height, weight and age
Motor Development;	nows	provider records, school health	Treight, weight and age
Development on Track		records, parent	
Beveropment on Truck		surveys/interviews)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Immunization history
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
		surveys/interviews)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Insurance Coverage
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
		surveys/interviews	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Motor Milestone Achievement
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
Dhysical Well Dains and	NOWC	surveys/interviews)	Demonst of children with any appropriate mutaition
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Percent of children with appropriate nutrition
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
	1	surveys/interviews)	I



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Vision and hearing screening history
Motor Development;		provider records, school health	
Development on Track		records, parent	
_		surveys/interviews)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Physical fitness	Percent of children who actively engage in developmentally appropriate
Motor Development;		tests, parent/teacher	physical activities at least three times per week
Development on Track		observations, medical records)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Physical fitness	Percent of children who are in the expected range of cardiovascular
Motor Development;		tests, parent/teacher	fitness, flexibility muscle strength, and endurance for their age
Development on Track		observations, medical records)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Physical fitness	Percent of children with an appropriate ratio of body fat to lean muscle
Motor Development;		tests, parent/teacher	mass for their age
Development on Track		observations, medical records)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/	Percent of children who can attend to their own hygiene needs
Motor Development;		parent surveys, teacher	
Development on Track		observation)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/	Percent of children who can dress themselves appropriately
Motor Development;		parent surveys, teacher	
Development on Track		observation)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/	Percent of children who feed themselves and participate appropriately in
Motor Development;		parent surveys, teacher	mealtime activities
Development on Track		observation)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/	Percent of children who take care of their own belongings
Motor Development;		parent surveys, teacher	
Development on Track		observation)	
Physical Well-Being and	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews,	Percent of families who make arrangements to provide extra opportunities
Motor Development;		program and school records,	and resources for child's development
Strong Bonds With		family surveys or interviews,	
Primary Caregiver,		parent/teacher ratings using	
Structured, Stimulating,		scales, social service agency	
stable Environment at		records, local and state statistics,	
Home		data from home visits)	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Safe, Healthy Public Space	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews, program and school records, family surveys or interviews, parent/teacher ratings using scales, social service agency records, local and state statistics, data from home visits)	Percent of families living in safe environments
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Percent of children who are considerate of others and engage in helping behaviors
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Percent of children who cooperate with peers and who volunteer to help peers with classroom or program tasks
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)	Percent of children who demonstrate an appropriate range of affection and other emotions
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)	Percent of children who demonstrate or acknowledge their self-worth
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Percent of children who follow established rules/limits
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, parent/guardian or teacher observations, child ratings of peers)	Percent of children who interact appropriately with adults



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, parent/guardian or teacher observations, child ratings of peers)	Percent of children who interact appropriately with other children
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists)	Percent of children who participate in routine activities
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)	Percent of children who perceive themselves as capable of learning
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)	Percent of children who recognize and respect similarities and differences between self and others
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher observations, interviews, surveys or ratings using scales or checklists, child survey or interview)	Percent of children who respect and show concern for others
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales of checklists, structured observation of child behavior in program setting)	Percent of children who deal appropriately with frustration and unfavorable events
Social and Emotional Development	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or teacher survey, interview, or ratings using scales of checklists, structured observation of child behavior in program setting)	Percent of children who express feelings and needs in socially acceptable ways



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Social and Emotional	NOWG	Admin. Data (parent/guardian or	Percent of children who have friends and are part of a positive social
Development		teacher survey, interview, or	network
		ratings using scales or checklists,	
		parent/guardian or teacher	
		observations, child ratings of	
		peers)	
Social and Emotional	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/parent	Percent of children who act in ways that reflect an understanding of the
Development		surveys, teacher observation)	responsibilities of a group or family membership
Social and Emotional	NOWG	Admin. Data (teacher/parent	Percent of children who can accept responsibility for their actions and
Development		surveys, teacher observation)	mistakes
Strong Bonds With	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews,	Percent of families providing environments supportive of their child's
Primary Caregiver,		program and school records,	education and learning
Structured, Stimulating,		family surveys or interviews,	
Stable Environment at		parent/teacher ratings using	
Home		scales, social service agency	
		records, local and state statistics,	
		data from home visits)	
Strong Bonds With	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews,	Percent of families who are knowledgeable about community resources
Primary Caregiver,		program and school records,	and who are connected to appropriate service providers/agencies
Structured, Stimulating,		family surveys or interviews,	
Stable Environment at		parent/teacher ratings using	
Home		scales, social service agency	
		records, local and state statistics,	
		data from home visits)	
Strong Bonds With	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews,	Percent of families with appropriate parenting skills to anticipate and meet
Primary Caregiver,		program and school records,	the developmental needs of children
Structured, Stimulating,		family surveys or interviews,	
Stable Environment at		parent/teacher ratings using	
Home		scales, social service agency	
		records, local and state statistics,	
		data from home visits)	



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Strong Bonds With	NOWG	Admin. Data (Case reviews,	Percent of family members who attend and participate in community
Primary Caregiver,		program and school records,	based programs in which their child attends
Structured, Stimulating,		family surveys or interviews,	
Stable Environment at		parent/teacher ratings using	
Home		scales, social service agency	
		records, local and state statistics,	
		data from home visits)	
Strong Bonds With	NOWG	Admin. Data (Healthcare	Percent of families with appropriate parenting skills to anticipate and meet
Primary Caregiver,		provider records, school health	developmental needs of children
Structured,		records, parent surveys/	
Stimulating, Stable		interviews	
Environment at Home			
Family Connected to	SS-B	01	Would suggest doing something, just to take my mind off my problems
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
1			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	02	Would visit with me, or invite me over
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
ı			No one would do this
ı			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	03	Would comfort me if I was upset
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	04	Would give me a ride if I needed one
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	05	Would have lunch or dinner with me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM #	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	06	Would look after my belongings (house, pets, etc.) for awhile
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	07	Would loan me a car if I needed one
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM #	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	08	Would joke around or suggest doing something to cheer me up
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	09	Would go to a movie or concert with me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	10	Would suggest how I could find out more about a situation
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	11	Would help me with a move or big chore
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	12	Would listen if I needed to talk about my feelings
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	13	Would have a good time with me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	14	Would pay for lunch if I was broke
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	15	Would suggest a way I might do something
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	16	Would give me encouragement to do something difficult
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	17	Would give me advice about what to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	18	Would chat with me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	19	Would help me figure out what I wanted to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	20	Would show me that they understood what I wanted to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	21	Would buy me a drink if I was short of money
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	22	Would help me decide what to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	23	Would give me a hug or otherwise show me I was cared about
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	24	Would call me just to see how I was doing
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	25	Would help me figure out what was going on
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
ı			Some friend would probably do this
ı			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	26	Would help me out with some necessary purchase
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	27	Would not pass judgment on me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	28	Would tell me who to talk to for help
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	29	Would loan me money for an indefinite amount of time
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
I			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	30	Would be sympathetic if I was upset
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	31	Would stick by me in a crunch
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	32	Would buy me clothes if I was short of money
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	33	Would tell me about the available choices and options
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM #	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	34	Would loan me tools, equipment or appliances if I needed them
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	35	Would give me reasons why I should or should not do something
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
I			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	36	Would show affection for me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	37	Would show me how to do something I didn't know how to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
I			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	38	Would bring me little presents of things I needed
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	39	Would tell me the best way to get something done
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	40	Would talk to other people to arrange something for me
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	41	Would loan me money and want to "forget about it"
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	42	Would tell me what to do
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	43	Would offer me a place to stay for awhile
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Connected to	SS-B	44	Would help me think about a problem
Supportive Networks,			Family
Formal and Informal			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Family Connected to	SS-B	45	Would loan me a fairly large sum of money (say the equivalent of a
Supportive Networks,			month's rent or mortgage)
Formal and Informal			Family
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some family member would probably do this
			Some family member would certainly do this
			Most family members would certainly do this
			Friends
			No one would do this
			Someone might do this
			Some friend would probably do this
			Some friend would certainly do this
			Most friends would certainly do this
Absence of Abuse,	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Abuse and neglect of children
Neglect, Exposure to	Measures		
Violence			
Absence of Abuse,	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Crime Rate
Neglect, Exposure to	Measures		
Violence			
Absence of Abuse,	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Crime victims as a percent of population
Neglect, Exposure to	Measures		
Violence			



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Domestic assault per 100,000 people
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Homicide rate per 100,000
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Number of children receiving protective services for abuse/neglect
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Property Crimes
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Rapes reported per 10,000 population
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Violent Crime Rate
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Average rescue call response time
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Emergency calls per capita
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Neighborhood livability as rated by residents
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent citizen participation in community projects
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent of people feeling they can rely on others in the community for help
Family Connected to Supportive Networks, Formal and Informal	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent who say most people can be trusted



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	AFDC recipients on more than 24 consecutive months
Secure	Measures		•
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Average earnings per job
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Children living in poverty
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Children receiving AFDC
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Employment Rate
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Food stamp recipients
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Health care as percent of income
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Health care cost relative to total state/local expenditure
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Households with incomes more than 200 percent above poverty line
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Median family income as percent of US median
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number or percent of residents receiving welfare assistance
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	People living below the poverty line
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Per capita debt
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Per capita income as a percent of state average
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Per capita income in non metropolitan areas
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of disposable personal income that is being saved
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of jobs that did not pay a self-sufficiency wage
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of jobs that pay a livable wage for a family of two
Secure	Measures		



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of population covered by health insurance
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of residents who want to work full time who actually work full
Secure	Measures		time
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Personal income per capita
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Students eligible for free or reduced price lunch
Secure	Measures		
Family Economically	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Unemployment Rate
Secure	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Births to females under 18 per 1,000 live births
	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Births to mothers without 12 years of education
	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Births to unwed mothers
	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Low birth weight infants
	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care
	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Substance-exposed newborns per 1,000 live births
	Measures		
High Quality Child Care	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Childcare arrangement satisfaction
	Measures		
High Quality Child Care	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of children on subsidized childcare waiting list
	Measures		
Physical Well-Being and	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Asthma hospitalization rate for children
Motor Development	Measures		
Physical Well-Being and	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percentage of people identifying obstacles to obtaining health care
Motor Development	Measures		
Physical Well-Being and	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Healthy diets for children
Motor Development;	Measures		
Development on Track			
Physical Well-Being and	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Infant mortality
Motor Development;	Measures		
Healthy, Wanted Birth			



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Air quality index
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Days per year that air quality standards are met
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of people feeling safe walking alone at night
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Percent of people who report decreased park use due to fear
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of acres of public open space
	Measures		
Safe, healthy, public space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of complaints about air quality per year
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of days air pollutants exceed healthful levels
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of sworn police officers per 1,000 people
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Number of trees on public property
	Measures		
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Parks and playgrounds as percent of urban area
	Measures		
Stable, Supportive	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Average house price to income ratio
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Measures		
Affordable Housing, Other			
Neighborhood Assets			
Stable, Supportive	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Density of alcohol outlets in certain areas
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Measures		
Affordable Housing, Other			
Neighborhood Assets	0 11	T. I'. A. D. I.	
Stable, Supportive	Sustainable	Indicators Database	Floor area per person in housing
Neighborhoods, Decent,	Measures		
Affordable Housing, Other			
Neighborhood Assets	Constainable	Indicators Database	House company in moto
Stable, Supportive	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Homeownership rate
Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other	ivieasures		
Neighborhood Assets			l



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Indoor air quality
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Number of neighborhood watch groups
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Number of noise complaints to local authorities
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent of dwellings in need of major repair
Stable, Supportive Neighborhoods, Decent, Affordable Housing, Other Neighborhood Assets	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent of new residential lots within 1/4 mile of services
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, stable Environment at Home	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Children in foster care per 1,000 children
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, stable Environment at Home	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Children involved in divorce per 1,000 children
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, stable Environment at Home	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Percent of family groups with only one parent living with a child under age 18



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, stable Environment at Home	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Runaways per 1,000 children
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, stable Environment at Home	Sustainable Measures	Indicators Database	Students who move more than once a year
Absence of Abuse, Neglect, Exposure to Violence	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Violent and Property Crimes per 1,000 Persons (1996) Crime data as reported to the FBI by law enforcement agencies. Violent crimes include murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery and aggravated assault. Property crimes include burglary, larceny-theft and motor vehicle theft. The totals shown for property crimes do not include arson. This data has not been adjusted for underreporting, which may affect comparability between geographic areas or over time.
Family Economically Secure	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Percent Children In Poverty (1990) Original source is the 1990 U.S. Census. This indicator includes the percent of children under 18 years of age who lived in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget at the time of the 1990 census. Thresholds based on family size and composition. In 1989, the poverty threshold for a family of four persons was \$13,359. This data is the most recent available at the city level.
Family Economically Secure	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Unemployment Rate (1996) Percent of civilian labor force who had no employment during the reference week, were available for work, except for temporary illness, and had made specific efforts to find employment some time during the fourweek period ending with the reference week. Persons who were waiting to be recalled to a job from which they had been laid off need not have been looking for work to be classified as unemployed. Time series data were not seasonally adjusted to eliminate the effect of intra-year variations which tend to occur during the same period on an annual basis.



INDICATOR AREA	SOURCE	ITEM#	QUESTION
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Percent Births to Teens (1996) This measure was compiled from a special run performed by the Division of Vital Statistics, a division of the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The results are calculated by dividing the number of live births to women under age 20 by the total number of live births in a city.
Healthy, Wanted Birth	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Percent Low Birth Weight (1996) This indicator represents the percent of live births weighing under 2,500 grams (5lbs 8oz). The data are reported by place of mothers residence, not place of birth, Births of unknown weight were not included in these calculations.
High Quality Child Care	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Enrollment in pre-primary school (1990) This measure is calculated by dividing the number of total children enrolled in preprimary grades, which include nursery school and kindergarten, in both public and private schools, by the number of 3- and 4-year-olds enumerated within city limits.
Physical Well-Being and Motor Development; Healthy, Wanted birth	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Infant Mortality Rate (1994-1996) This indicator represents infant deaths under one year of age per 1,000 live births. The data are reported by place of residence, not place of death.
Safe, Healthy Public Space	Zero Population Growth	Kid friendly Cities Report Card	Number of Bad Air Days This statistic is measured at the level of the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The number of unhealthy air days for a given MSA is applied to each city in the study that is part of the MSA. The Pollutant Standards Index (PSI) integrates information on criteria pollutant concentrations (CO,)3, PM10, SO2) across an entire monitoring network into a single number that represents the worst daily air quality experienced in an urban area. PSI values used in this study are based on ozone alone.
Strong Bonds With Primary Caregiver, Structured, Stimulating, Stable Environment at Home	Zero Population Growth	Kid Friendly Cities Report Card	Percent Households where no-one over age 13 speaks English well This measure gives the percentage of children ages 5-13 living in households where no one over the age of 13 speaks only English of English "very well". It includes children ages 5-13 who sometimes or always speak a language other than English at home.