Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Spending by Child Welfare Agencies in SFY 2020

Child welfare agencies across the United States are charged with protecting and promoting the welfare of children and youth who are at risk of or have been victims of maltreatment. State and local child welfare agencies rely on multiple funding streams to administer programs and services. In state fiscal year (SFY) 2020, state and local child welfare agencies spent $31.4 billion of federal, state, local, and other funds. While many funding sources are available to child welfare agencies, each source has its own unique purposes, eligibility requirements, and limitations creating a complex financing structure that is challenging to understand and administer. Each state’s unique funding composition determines what services are available to children and families and the way in which child welfare agencies operate.

This document presents information about Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) spending by child welfare agencies in SFY 2020 collected through Child Trends’ national survey of child welfare agency expenditures.¹

**Background**

Created in 1996, TANF is a federal block grant² provided to states that has four overarching purposes:

1. provide assistance to needy families so that children can be cared for in their own homes or in the homes of relatives
2. end the dependence of needy parents on government benefits by promoting job preparation, work, and marriage
3. prevent and reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies
4. encourage the formation and maintenance of two-parent families

While TANF is primarily thought of as a cash assistance program for families with low incomes, only about a fifth of TANF dollars spent in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2020 were used to provide basic (cash) assistance for families.³ The remaining amount supported other activities, such as child care, work supports, refundable tax credits, and administration.⁴ Because TANF funds are designed to be flexible, they can be used for a wide array of services and supports aimed at achieving one or more of the program’s four goals. States use this flexible funding for supporting child welfare activities. TANF replaced the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program, which provided significant funding for child welfare activities. Federal law allows states to use TANF funds to cover some programs and activities that a state had conducted under its pre-TANF AFDC program, and thus some states use TANF to fund foster care for children ineligible for Title IV-E.⁵

**Other available resources**

This document is one of many child welfare financing resources available on the [Child Trends](https://www.childtrends.org) website, including a summary of national findings and detailed information on the following funding sources used by child welfare agencies:

- Title IV-E
- Title IV-B
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
- Social Services Block Grant
- Medicaid
- Other federal funds
- State and local funds
TANF funds are governed by various federal program rules and regulations, including work requirements and time limits for families receiving assistance (payments to meet ongoing basic needs). Work requirements and time limits do not apply when TANF benefits are given to households in which the child is the only recipient (“child-only” cases), such as when a child lives with relatives (or, in some states, specified nonrelatives) who would not otherwise be eligible for benefits. Such requirements also do not apply when TANF funds are used for services other than assistance. Federal law allows states to transfer up to 10 percent of TANF funds to the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG), which creates even greater flexibility for states to use the funds. While no state match is required for TANF, there are financial maintenance of effort requirements for states.6

Overview of TANF Spending

In SFY 2020, child welfare agencies reported spending $2.6 billion in TANF funds on child welfare services.7

TANF expenditures have decreased by 14 percent over the decade (among states with sufficient data in SFYs 2010 and 2020). This graph shows the trend line over the decade.8

To enable comparisons, all dollar amounts from previous years have been inflated to 2020 levels. The figures presented in this graph reflect an analysis of 44 states with sufficient data across all six surveys conducted between 2010 and 2020 (surveys conducted every two years).
Between SFYs 2018 and 2020, more states reported a decrease than an increase in the use of TANF funds by child welfare agencies. Changes in TANF expenditures ranged from -100 percent to 103 percent, depending on the state.

## States experiencing changes in the use of TANF funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>No change</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TANF as a share of all federal funds

TANF funds comprised a little less than one fifth of federal funds spent by child welfare agencies in SFY 2020. This proportion has slightly decreased since SFY 2010.

TANF funds accounted for zero to 52 percent of federal dollars spent by child welfare agencies in SFY 2020, depending on the state.

### Percentage of federal expenditures

- 10% or less: 12 states
- 11 to 20%: 6
- 21 to 30%: 6
- 31 to 40%: 7
- 41% or more: 3
- Did not use TANF: 9

## Use of TANF funds

TANF funds may be used by child welfare agencies for various services and activities, including the following as defined by the Administration for Children and Families:

- Basic assistance (cash, vouchers, and other payments to meet a family’s ongoing needs), which includes:
  - Benefits for children in informal kin settings: payments for a child for whom the child welfare agency does not have custody and is living with relative caregivers
  - Relative foster care payments and adoption/guardianship subsidies: basic assistance provided for a child for whom the child welfare agency has custody and is living with a relative caregiver; basic assistance to children living with a legal guardian; ongoing adoption subsidies
• Assistance authorized under prior law (i.e., assistance that is normally no longer allowed under TANF but that is allowed because a state was authorized to provide the assistance under the programs that preceded TANF), which includes:
  o Foster care payments: foster care assistance for children authorized solely under prior law
  o Emergency assistance: assistance or benefits authorized solely under prior law

• Non-assistance (e.g., services) authorized under prior law (i.e., services that are normally no longer allowed under TANF but that are allowed because a state was authorized to provide the services under the programs that preceded TANF), which includes:
  o Child welfare services: services provided to children and families involved in the child welfare system solely authorized under prior law
  o Emergency services: services authorized solely under prior law

• Work, education, and training activities: subsidized employment, education and training, and additional work activities (e.g., providing job search assistance and job readiness)

• Early care and education: child care expenditures for families who need child care to work, participate in work activities, or for respite purposes; pre-kindergarten or kindergarten education programs

• Supportive services: services such as domestic violence services, and health, mental health, substance use and disability services, housing counseling services, and other family supports

• Services for children and youth: programs to support and enrich development and improve life-skills and educational attainment of children and youth (e.g., after-school programs, mentoring, or tutoring programs)

• Child welfare services, which includes:
  o Family preservation services: community-based services to help children remain in or return to their homes (e.g., respite care, parenting skills classes, individual or family counseling)
  o Adoption services: services designed to promote and support successful adoptions
  o Other child welfare services: services provided to children and families at-risk of or involved in the child welfare system (e.g., legal action, transportation, independent living services)

• Program management: administrative costs, assessment/service provision (e.g., costs associated with screening and assessment, case planning and management), and costs related to monitoring and tracking systems for the TANF program

• Other: other activities not included in the above categories
The most commonly reported child welfare agency services and activities funded through TANF were family preservation services and other child welfare services.¹³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>States Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family preservation services</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other child welfare services</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative foster care payments &amp; adoption/guardianship subsidies</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for children &amp; youth</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care payments</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportive services</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child welfare services</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency assistance</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work, education, &amp; training activities</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency services</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program management</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits for children in informal kin settings</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early care &amp; education</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption services</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not use TANF</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹³See the main report (Child Welfare Financing SFY 2020: A survey of federal, state, and local expenditures) for more specific information about the methodology, interpretation of findings, and important caveats.

Each state reported data based on its SFY 2020, which for most states is July 1, 2019, to June 30, 2020. Of the 46 participating states, only five (Alabama, the District of Columbia, Michigan, New York, and Texas) reported a different SFY period.

The survey instrument has been revised over the 12 rounds of the survey, so some data are not directly comparable. See the main report for more details about changes to the survey and comparability.

For the purposes of the survey, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are considered states.

This year, Georgia, Hawai‘i, Idaho, North Dakota, Washington, and West Virginia were unable to participate, resulting in a total of 46 participating states.

² A federal block grant provides state and local governments a set level of federal funding for services and benefits. Block grants are commonly used to provide money for general areas of social welfare, rather than for specific programs, and allow jurisdictions more freedom to choose how best to use the funds.


⁴ Falk (2022).


⁶ “Maintenance of effort” refers to a requirement for states to contribute a fixed amount of state funds to access federal TANF funds (Falk, 2017).
Based on an analysis of 37 states that reported using TANF funds. Nine states reported that their child welfare agencies did not use TANF dollars for child welfare activities that year. Total excludes funds transferred to SSBG.

To enable comparisons, all dollar amounts from previous years have been inflated to 2020 levels using the gross domestic product deflator (accessed at www.measuringworth.com/uscompare/).

When comparing expenditures or funding proportions between two or more years, we restricted the analysis to states with sufficient data in the years being compared. This is because some states provided incomplete information or did not respond to the survey in some years.

The percentage change between SFYs 2010 and 2020 is based on an analysis of 46 states with sufficient data.

The percentage change between SFYs 2018 and 2020 is based on an analysis of 46 states with sufficient data.

Based on an analysis of 46 states with sufficient data. We counted any positive change as an increase, and any negative change as a decrease, regardless of magnitude.

This percentage is based on an analysis of 43 states with complete federal expenditure data in SFY 2020.

Based on an analysis of 38 states with sufficient data in all six surveys conducted between 2010 and 2020 (surveys conducted every two years).

The TANF category names and definitions are condensed and/or summarized from the definitions in the Administration for Children and Families’ instructions for completing federal TANF reporting forms. [U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (n.d.) Instructions for the completion of state TANF financial report forms: ACF-196R and ACF-196. Available at: https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ofa/acf_196r_instructions_final.pdf]

Out of the 37 states that reported TANF expenditures, 36 provided information about the service categories funded by TANF.

The order was determined by counting the number of states that reported each service category as one of their top three services. The service categories were then ranked by the number of states placing that category in their “top three.”
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